GIMNAZIJA CELJE – CENTER

KOSOVELOVA ULICA 1
3000 CELJE

Urška PETRIČ LES

VAJE IZ BESEDIŠČA IN BESEDOTVORJA V ANGLEŠKEM JEZIKU

Strokovno gradivo za učitelje angleškega jezika v programu Splošna gimnazija in Umetniška gimnazija

KAZALO

TikTok and the Retreat from Technological Globalization	4
Iran, and America Waits	10
The Perils of Highly Processed Food	17
Can We Get Kids Off Smartphones?	24
Why Harvard Can Afford to Stand Up to Donald Trump	32
Do We Need Another Green Revolution?	40
What Is the Opposite of Oil Drilling?	49
Why Is the American Diet So Deadly?	59

UVODNA BESEDA

V zadnjih letih se vse pogosteje srečujemo z ugotovitvijo, da se mladim, tudi zaradi vseprisotnih

pametnih telefonov, poplave aplikacij in platform za gledanje serij ter filmov, predvsem pa izrazito

kratkih videov, krajša koncentracija, kar potrjujejo tudi številne raziskave o bralnih navadah in

zmožnostih mladih. Ena takih raziskav opozarja, da mladi vse redkeje posegajo po daljših

besedilih, kar vpliva ne samo na njihovo zmožnost razumevanja zahtevnejših vsebin, ampak tudi

na širino besedišča in sposobnost tvorjenja novih besed, nenazadnje pa tudi na kritično mišljenje.

Prav zato sem pripravila to strokovno gradivo, ki se osredotoča na besedotvorje v angleščini, a

hkrati mlade spodbuja k branju daljših besedil resnega časnika in premišljenemu razumevanju

zapisanega. Članki so prav z namenom privajanja na daljša, resna in kritična besedila razvrščeni

od najkrajšega do najdaljšega.

Besedotvorje je področje jezika, ki ga pogosto jemljemo kot samoumevnega, a prav skozi

razumevanje, kako nastajajo nove besede, kako se pomen širi ali spreminja, dijaki poglabljajo

svoj odnos do tujega jezika. Ob tem jim daljša besedila ponujajo priložnost, da se učijo vztrajnosti,

razvijajo pozornost in krepijo sposobnost kritičnega branja, pa tudi natančnosti pri zapisovanju.

V gradivu boste našli besedila, ki se osredotočajo na aktualne teme, obravnavane tudi pri pouku,

in z njimi povezane naloge, pri katerih so primeri skrbno in premišljeno izbrani glede na zahtevnost

zapisa besede, pripone, predpone in drugega, ob tem pa vas vabijo, da preberete tudi daljše

odlomke, ki besedotvorne procese postavljajo v širši kontekst.

Upam, da vam bo gradivo pomagalo ne le pri razumevanju angleškega jezika in širjenju besedišča,

ampak tudi pri ponovnem odkrivanju veselja do branja - saj je prav zbranost ob besedilu ena

najpomembnejših veščin, ki jih potrebujemo za uspeh v šoli in življenju.

Avtorica

Urška Petrič Les, prof.

3

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/culture/infinite-scroll/tiktok-and-the-retreat-from-technological-globalization

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

TikTok and the Retreat from Technological Globalization Global technology companies are becoming table stakes in the struggle to establish whatever new world order is emerging.

By Kyle Chayka April 9, 2025

April 9, 2025 On January 18th, at around 10:30 P.M, the social platform TikTok went dark in the United States. It remained _____ (AVAILABILITY) unavailable to American users for a grand total of about fourteen hours. Then it reappeared. Thus far, that brief shutdown marks the extent of the consequences that TikTok and its Chinese parent company, ByteDance, have suffered in the months since Joe Biden signed a law, last April, mandating that the platform be sold, at least in part, to American stakeholders, or else be banned in the U.S. Just before Donald Trump's _____ (INAUGURATE), he began reassuring inauguration TikTok, and the tech companies that support it, that he would not enforce the ban—though his first Administration had been the one to ___ (INITIATIVE) a crackdown on the app because of its initiate influence by the Chinese government. On January 20th, Trump issued _____ (EXECUTE) order to effectively extend TikTok's executive deadline by seventy-five days; last week, on April 4th, he extended it by another seventy-five days. The second _____ (EXTEND) puts extension to rest any idea that TikTok will disappear again, and makes some kind of business arrangement to preserve its U.S. presence appear all but inevitable. ByteDance and the U.S. government had ___

(REPORT) been nearing a deal that was slated to be announced last	reportedly
week. According to the Times and other outlets, it would have involved	
the American database company Oracle and tech-investment firms,	
perhaps including Andreessen Horowitz and Susquehanna, the latter	
of which is already a stakeholder in ByteDance. Those companies	
would take larger stakes in an American spinoff of TikTok, bringing its	
portion of direct Chinese (OWN) under the twenty-	ownership
per-cent threshold required by the 2024 law. But Trump's	
announcement of steep global tariffs last Wednesday, on what he	
dubbed his Liberation Day, deterred the Chinese parties from finalizing	
the deal. As of Wednesday, Trump has announced a hundred-and-	
twenty-five-per-cent tariff on goods imported from China, measures	
that the Chinese government has described as "	
(BULLY)."	bullying
TikTok has become a bargaining chip in an escalating trade war.	
"China changed the deal because of tariffs," Trump said, while	
speaking with reporters on Air Force One on April 6th. "If I gave a little	
cut in tariffs, they'd approve that deal in fifteen minutes." TikTok	
already existed in a kind of limbo before last week, but now its strange	
state of in-betweenness is intensified: it is both alive and dead,	
allowed to persist but technically (LEGAL). Trump,	illegal
who may have benefitted from its audience's attention during the 2024	
election, cannot let it be banned, lest he disappoint his young,	
extremely online constituency and undermine his reputation for	
dealmaking. ByteDance, in turn, cannot be seen as	
(WHOLE) giving in to the President's whims, nor can it	wholly
(EASY) afford to lose its sizable American user base. For the company	easily
to refuse to come to any agreement around a sale or an investment	
would seem to prove that TikTok's technology is as	
(INVADE) and susceptible to pressure from the Chinese government	invasive
as both houses of Congress once agreed that it was.	
For ByteDance, the potential (LOSE) of millions of	loss
loyal U.S. users remains a driving concern that shapes every	

negotiation, making any decision fraught with risk and potential	
backlash.	
Over the past few decades, the largest American tech companies have $\label{eq:companies} \begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular}$	
served as forces of globalization. Billions of people around the world	
began using Google, Facebook, and Apple's iPhones, and the success	
of those companies seemed to reflect the	
(DOMINATE) of the U.S. on the world stage. Linguists have even	dominance
debated the (PRONOUNCE) of "TikTok" as a sign of its	pronunciation
global cultural footprint, showing how a simple app has become a	
marker of soft power. American social networks have played a role	
similar to that of McDonald's or Starbucks when the chains	
proliferated internationally and, by the late nineties, came to	
symbolize expanding U.S. influence. In a 2024 book titled "Machine	
and Sovereignty," the Hong Kong philosopher Yuk Hui described	
technological globalization as an era when "modern Western	
technology becomes a global phenomenon and the common aim of	
human development." Now governments around the world are	
attempting to bring these companies to heel, subjugating them to the	
aims of (NATION) politics rather than allowing their	nationalist
products to spread freely.	
In recent months, public (ADVOCATE) campaigns	advocacy
have called for more transparency about how the company protects	
user data, adding another layer of pressure to ByteDance's	
negotiations with U.S. authorities. Global tech companies are	
becoming table stakes in the struggle to establish whatever new world	
order is emerging out of an (FLAME) Europe, a self-	inflamed
secure China, an expansionist Russia, and a United States under	
Trump, who appears to be willing to sacrifice the interdependence that	
defined the era of free trade.	
Despite mounting pressure, ByteDance has shown	
(RESIST) to any deal that might fully sever its influence over the app's	resistance
algorithms, defending its technological edge even as lawmakers	
demand changes. Lawmakers and activists have	
(PERSIST) warned that failing to limit foreign control could pose long-	persistently

term security threats, arguing that leaving the platform unchecked	
could have consequences for national (SOVEREIGN).	sovereignty
For some critics, TikTok's popularity makes it all the more	
(AGREE) as a symbol of China's reach into American	disagreeable
society, turning a harmless-looking app into a flashpoint for debate	
about (PRIVATE), trade, and global power.	privacy
The evidence of a retreat from technological globalization goes beyond	
the TikTok negotiations. According to the Wall Street Journal, Mark	
Zuckerberg seems to be encouraging Trump to use tariffs to pressure	
the European Union to back down on its (FORCE) of	enforcement
online data privacy with its Digital Markets Act, which has established	
(REGULATE) that hurt Meta's ability to sell advertising	regulations
there. The notion of "sovereign artificial intelligence"—a nation's	
ability to produce A.I. technology without foreign labor or	
infrastructure—has been popularized by the microchip maker Nvidia	
and adopted as a goal by various governments, which are investing in	
(MASS) homegrown data centers. As with military	massive
drones or spy satellites, each country aspires to develop A.I. models	
that it controls outright. A.I. tech, from the consumer level upward, is	
becoming an increasingly nationalistic project, with products such as	
Mistral in France and DeepSeek in China challenging the dominance	
of OpenAI. In the earlier days of the public A.I. race, OpenAI seemed to	
be to A.I. what Facebook was to social networking: a single American	
product for everyone on earth. Now it faces real	
(COMPETE).	competition
According to Hui, an alternative to technological globalization is	
"technodiversity," a system in which many similar technologies	
coexist, each with its own (PRIORITIZE) and design.	priorities
This notion may be threatening to Silicon Valley C.E.O.s, who see their	
companies as nation-states unto themselves. But it's not necessarily	
bad for individual users, who may see an expansion of possibilities in	
online communication, social media, and artificial intelligence. Hui	
argued that technodiversity can enable not just more digital	
competition but manifold ways of living and interacting with one	

another. The online landscape lately has felt stuck in a deadlock,	
controlled by a few giant corporations. A "fragmentation," Hui wrote,	
could offer a way to "exit the impasse." That might mean that some	
social networks don't function in certain countries, or that an	
American A.I. model works (DIFFERENCE) from a	differently
Japanese one or a French one. The negotiations over the TikTok ban are	
not (SIMPLE) a referendum on the app's ability to	simply
addict children or to exert covert Chinese influence over an American	
user base. TikTok is not so unique, or so uniquely potent; an	
(AMERICA) TikTok will still be just as addicting and just	americanized
as (MANIPULATE) in service to its new corporate	manipulative
stakeholders. Rather, the battle over TikTok is about both sides trying	
to maintain a (POLITICS) grip on as much digital	political
technology as possible. ♦	

- 1. What event caused the temporary suspension of TikTok's services in the U.S., and how long did this pause last?
- 2. Which legislative action did the Biden administration take regarding TikTok's ownership, and what was its main requirement?
- 3. How did Trump's actions during his second term influence the enforcement of restrictions on the platform?
- 4. Why did Trump prolong the deadline for TikTok's sale more than once?
- 5. What impact did the newly announced import duties have on the negotiations between ByteDance and American companies?
- 6. How is TikTok being used in the broader context of the economic confrontation between the U.S. and China?
- 7. In what legal condition does TikTok currently operate in the United States, according to the text?
- 8. What risks does ByteDance face if it refuses to reach a compromise with American stakeholders?
- 9. How do some lawmakers interpret TikTok's link to the Chinese government in terms of information security?

- 10. What role have large U.S. tech corporations historically played in spreading American influence worldwide?
- 11. Why is the pronunciation of the word "TikTok" mentioned as evidence of its cultural significance?
- 12. What does Yuk Hui's idea of "technodiversity" suggest about how technology could evolve worldwide?
- 13. How are governments trying to reduce the unchecked expansion of international tech firms today?
- 14. What additional public demand is increasing pressure on ByteDance besides political negotiations?
- 15. How does Trump's approach to tariffs reflect a move away from the previous era of unrestricted trade?
- 16. Why might Zuckerberg support tariffs against the European Union, according to the article?
- 17. What is meant by "sovereign artificial intelligence," and why is it becoming an objective for many countries?
- 18. How does the emergence of local A.I. alternatives in Europe and Asia challenge American dominance in this field?
- 19. In what way could technological fragmentation benefit everyday internet users, according to Hui?
- 20. What broader issue does the fight over TikTok reveal about the global contest for control of digital technologies?

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/news/the-lede/donald-trump-bombs-iran-and-america-waits

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

Iran, and America Waits The U.S. strikes were unprecedented, and the repercussions are impossible to predict. By David Remnick June 22, 2025 The United States joined Israel in its war against the Islamic Republic of Iran on Saturday night as American President Donald Trump ordered _____ (BOMB) to destroy three key bombers (NUCLEUS) sites. Just before 8 P.M., Trump went on nuclear Truth Social to deliver the news: We have completed our very _____ (SUCCEED) attack on successful the three Nuclear sites in Iran, including Fordow, Natanz, and Esfahan. All planes are now outside of Iran air space. A full payload of BOMBS was dropped on the _____ (PRIME) site, Fordow. primary All planes are safely on their way home. In a brief television address at 10 P.M., Trump declared the operation (SPECTACLE) military success" and said the three spectacular sites had been "completely and totally obliterated." In recent days, polls have shown that a _____ (MAJOR) of majority the American people, including the one of the President's supporters, opposed going to war with Iran. By ordering these strikes, Trump acted without _____ (CONGRESS) approval and in congressional contradiction to his campaign promise to avoid the kind of (DISASTER) situations experienced in Iraq, Libya, disastrous and Afghanistan. I recently wrote a piece reviewing many of the

dangers and possibilities that could follow an American bombing in	
Iran. After hearing the news, I immediately called one of the country's	
most (KNOW) experts on Iran, Karim Sadjadpour. He	knowledgeable
is a (SCHOOL) at the Carnegie Endowment for	scholar
International Peace and worked as an (ANALYSE)	analyst
with the International Crisis Group in Tehran, from 2003 to 2005.	
The latest from Washington and beyond, covering current events, the	
economy, and more, from our (COLUMN) and	columnists
(CORRESPOND).	correspondents
"I'm in shock," Sadjadpour told me, about ten minutes after Trump's	
announcement. "I'm sitting here watching this on CNN and trying to	
see the reaction on Persian-language Twitter."	
"This is (PRECEDE), dropping a thirty-thousand-	un-
pound bomb," he continued. "Anyone who has observed the last two	precedented
decades of history in the Middle East would think hard about	
(LEASH) such an attack. You would want to think	unleashing
several steps ahead, and there is no evidence that the President has	
done that. His tweet and his public comments have given the	
impression that this is the end of war and the commencement of	
peace, but I suspect the Iranians think (DIFFER).	differently
They have a program on which they have spent hundreds of billions of	
dollars. The regime—perhaps not the people, but the regime—takes	
pride in that and now it is destroyed. No (DICTATE)	dictatorship
wants to look emasculated and humiliated in the eyes of its own	
people."	
The question now is how Iran will respond. "If the Ayatollah [Ali	
Khamenei] responds weakly, he loses face," Sadjadpour said. "If he	
responds too strongly, he could lose his head."	
"A lot of the options that they have for retaliation are the	
(STRATEGY) equivalent of a suicide bombing," he	strategic
went on. "They can do enormous damage to our embassies. They	
might mine the Strait of Hormuz. They can continue missile barrages	
against Israel. They can attempt to do real damage to the world	
economy, though the regime might not survive the blowback. And	

once things begin, the consequences can become	
(CONTROL), spiralling far beyond anyone's plans."	uncontrollable
In the past couple of weeks, Israeli intelligence and bomber pilots	
have wiped out much of the (UP) echelons of the	upper
Iranian security establishment, along with the country's top nuclear	
(SCIENCE). The Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps	scientists
is still in place, however, and, according to	
(NUMBER) analyses, they are likely to fill any power vacuum, at least	numerous
in the short term. But the truth is, Sadjadpour said, the events of the	
coming days and months will be hard to predict, and in the fog of	
conflict, (FALSE) often spreads faster than facts.	falsehood
Will the Israelis or Americans ever come forward with hard,	
convincing evidence about the Iranian nuclear threat and its timing?	
Not for the first time, Benjamin Netanyahu asserted that the threat	
was (IMMINENCE) and acted on it, and yet he did not	imminent
provide the public with clear evidence of Iran being close to obtaining	
a nuclear weapon. Nor did Trump. Israel and the United States have	
now set back Iran's nuclear program as never before. And yet, if this	
regime survives, it could well make a secret effort in the future to	
produce or obtain an atomic weapon as deterrence against a repeat	
of the strikes that have just taken place.	
"Will we look back and say this prevented an Iranian bomb or insured	
one?" Sadjadpour said. " (SIMILARITY), have we	similarly
hastened the demise of the regime, or have we entrenched it? The	
modern history of the Middle East does not give	favourable
(FAVOUR) answers to these questions. Iran is in a unique situation.	
It's plausible that the (REVOLUTION) Guard	Revolutionary
commanders will look at the Supreme Leader, Khamenei, and say,	
'You have led us to ruin. We have been the most sanctioned and	
isolated country in the world, and now your nuclear program is	
destroyed and we are humiliated. It is time to move aside.' "	
Khamenei is eighty-six, and has been in power since 1989. "He's one	
of the longest-serving dictators in the world—you don't get to be that	
by being a gambler," Sadjadpour said. "He has instincts for	

(SURVIVE) but also instincts of	survival
(DEFY). Right now, his survival instincts and his defiance instincts are	defiance
in tension. There is a certain (READY) in his circle to	readiness
project strength, but also a (PANIC) fear of what	panicky
comes next. Imagine it: You are eighty-six with the physical and,	
perhaps, cognitive limits that come with that. You have limited	
bandwidth, but now you are meant to lead a war against the U.S., the	
world's biggest superpower, and Israel, the region's biggest military	
power, and you are doing it from a bunker. It is hard to see how the	
outcome can be positive for him.	
"But, as we have learned too often in history, military success doesn't	
always translate to political success. In my opinion—and maybe	
history will view it differently—so much that we do now as a nation is	
not a (REFLECT) of national deliberation or national	reflection
interest. It is the impulse of one man. Trump came to office believing	
his mere presence would resolve world conflicts in twenty-four	
hours: Russia-Ukraine, Israel-Palestine. When Trump saw that he	
wasn't successful, he had a great sense of (URGE) to	urgency
come to a resolution in Iran. The combination of Netanyahu's	
(PERSIST) and Khamenei's defiance transformed	persistence
Trump from a self-proclaimed peacemaker to a	warmonger
(WAR). His decision-making, often (PROVOKE), has	provocative
left allies guessing and adversaries emboldened."	
In Saudi Arabia last month, Trump delivered an extraordinary speech	
that was highly critical of military (INTERVENE) and	intervention
nation-building adventures in the Middle East. "In the end, the so-	
nation-building adventures in the Middle East. "In the end, the so- called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built,"	
called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built,"	
called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built," Trump said. "And the interventionalists were intervening in complex	
called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built," Trump said. "And the interventionalists were intervening in complex societies that they did not even understand."	
called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built," Trump said. "And the interventionalists were intervening in complex societies that they did not even understand." During his speech, Trump seemed to draw a sharp distinction	
called nation builders wrecked far more nations than they built," Trump said. "And the interventionalists were intervening in complex societies that they did not even understand." During his speech, Trump seemed to draw a sharp distinction between himself and Republican predecessors such as George W.	

their sins." It is this kind of rhetoric that has won the	
(APPROVE) of the isolationist strain of the MAGA movement and the	approval
Republican Party, including Steve Bannon and Tucker Carlson.	
Trump's action in Iran on Saturday night will inevitably	
(ALIEN) that faction as it earns praise from the likes	alienate
of Fox News commentators such as Mark Levin and Sean Hannity, as	
well as Senators Ted Cruz and Lindsey Graham.	
"Trump came to the (PRESIDENT) with a Nixon-goes-	Presidency
to-China idea where Iran is concerned," Sadjadpour said. "He	
wanted to build hotels there. And now he has dropped a thirty-	
thousand-pound bomb. He was frustrated that he hadn't solved Gaza	
or Ukraine. The nuclear deal that Obama worked out with Iran and the	
rest, the J.C.P.O.A., was a two-year-long negotiation. He had no	
patience for that. And when Khamenei wasn't agreeing to his terms	
very quickly, and when he encountered Netanyahu's persistence and	
Khamenei's (RESIST), he changed. The morning after	resistance
the Israeli invasion, Trump wanted to associate himself with that	
success. He didn't want Netanyahu alone to have a Churchill	
moment. He wants to be remembered for destroying nuclear	
facilities. But it means the next President will be faced with the same	
challenge."	
Although it is true that many Iranians despise the ruling	
(THEOCRAT), and though it is true that the Iranian	Theocracy
people are among the most pro-American in the region, there is no	
reason to be confident that even the most restive will welcome	
foreign intervention. And it is unlikely, at least in the short term, that	
what will follow this regime, if it falls, will be a secular liberal	
democracy with civil rights for women and	
(RELIGION) minorities. Regime change is rarely, if ever, regarded as a	religious
gift. The C.I.A. and British oil companies helped the Army topple	
Mohammad Mosaddegh, a popular Iranian Prime Minister, in 1953,	
and that coup is still part of the political(CONVERSE)	conversation
in Iran, Sadjadpour said.	

"From World War Two to 2010, more than half of authoritarian	
regimes that fell were followed by other authoritarian regimes, and	
Iran, in 1979, is just one of many," he said. "Only a quarter of them	
led to democracy. And that number was lower if it was triggered by	
violence or foreign military (INVADE). We should be	invasion
very wary of the idea that what happened tonight will somehow	
automatically lead to a democratic Persian Spring." ♦	

- 1. Why might the consequences of the recent aerial offensive be difficult to forecast?
- 2. What social media platform did the American leader use to announce the mission?
- 3. Which locations in Iran were the main focus of the aerial bombardment?
- 4. How did the President characterize the outcome of the air raids in his televised statement?
- 5. What do surveys suggest about the American public's attitude toward conflict with Iran?
- 6. Which branch of the U.S. government was bypassed when authorizing the strikes?
- 7. What previous military entanglements did the President promise to avoid repeating?
- 8. Why did the writer reach out to Karim Sadjadpour immediately after the news broke?
- 9. What organization is Sadjadpour associated with, and what is his field of expertise?
- 10. Why does Sadjadpour think the attack was historically unusual?
- 11. According to Sadjadpour, how might Iran's leaders perceive the damage to their atomic initiative?
- 12. What dilemma does Khamenei face if he retaliates either too weakly or too forcefully?
- 13. What are some potential forms of reprisal Iran might pursue, according to the analysis?
- 14. Why might Iranian military elites step in to fill any leadership voids?
- 15. How does the text describe the spread of misinformation during times of conflict?

- 16. What argument does Sadjadpour present about the lack of concrete proof regarding Iran's atomic threat?
- 17. What possible outcome does Sadjadpour warn about regarding the long-term effect of the strikes on Iran's nuclear ambitions?
- 18. How does the author suggest that the Supreme Leader's instincts are currently in conflict?
- 19. What contradiction does the text highlight between Trump's anti-intervention speech and his recent military actions?
- 20. What does Sadjadpour imply about the likelihood of Iran transforming into a Western-style democracy after regime change?

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2023/07/31/ultra-processed-people-chris-van-tulleken-book-review

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

The Perils of Highly Processed Food For millennia, human beings have engineered what they eat. Have we finally gone too far? By Adam Gopnik July 24, 2023 The _____ (OPPOSE) of the raw and the cooked, to borrow opposition from the title of Claude Lévi-Strauss's most cited though not best-read book, seems basic to our ideas of nature and culture. A raw prawn is part of the sea; broiled, it becomes part of our art. But for Lévi-Strauss the real work was done by the third leg of his "culinary triangle": the rotting. Spoilage, after all, is a natural _____ (TEND) of food and the tendency most urgent reason we transform nature into culture — we're _ (DESPAIR) trying to keep what we're about to eat from desperately going bad. The line between the raw and the cooked is, to be sure, nebulous; a plate of sushi is both raw and cooked, "made," in the _____ (CULTURE) sense, by a knife and seaweed. Sushi is the dream of pure cultural sensation, but herring is the normal state of life. The more consequential point is that cooked meat decays more slowly than raw; pickling and curing postpone the unpalatable end even longer. We save the world from rotting by rolling it in salt, smoking it in maple fires, preserving it in brine. Nature is always going bad, and the most immediate form of "good" that humans know is keeping that from happening. Sisyphus' famous boulder, rolled uphill and crashing down again, is better represented in our _____ (DAY) lives by the nova we eat on dailv

Sunday morning's bagel — salmon saved from spoiling by smoke and	
salt—with the (KNOW) that lox, too, has a sell-by date.	knowledge
The raw, the cooked, and the rotten: it sounds like a Sergio Leone movie.	
The odd thing is that, in the realm of culinary culture, the processed and	
the pickled are now in a kind of gunfight: we vilify the processed, heroize	
the pickled. Nothing is more (FASHION) than	fashionable
sauerkraut. (Fifteen pages of a new bible of gastronomy, derived from the	
ultra-chic Paris restaurant Septime, are devoted to things bathed in acid	
and marinated at (LONG) in jars, without a cream sauce	length
in sight.) Yet what makes something processed rather than preserved	
turns out to be as difficult to define as the more abstract-seeming	
(DIFFER) between the cultural and the natural, and	difference
between the two lie the usual snares of usage.	
In the new book "Ultra-Processed People" (Norton), the British doctor	
and (MEDICINE) journalist Chris van Tulleken bravely	medical
turns himself into a guinea pig to explore the ins and outs of ultra-	
processed food (U.P.F.) — basically, food made up of substances that	
you would never find at home. He has in mind all those cereals and	
snacks and ice creams we see on supermarket shelves with lists of	
ingredients as long as the Catalogue of Ships in the Iliad - and just as easy	
to (SPELL) if you tried to recite them all. Van Tulleken	misspell
"wanted this food," he reports of his U.P.F. diet. "But at the same time, I	
was no longer enjoying it. Meals took on a (UNIFORM):	uniformity
everything seemed similar, regardless of whether it was sweet or	
savoury. I was never hungry. But I was also never satisfied." He gained	
weight, and so did his family. Sacrificing his health for science's sake, he	
drinks a can of Diet Coke every morning for breakfast "and gradually	
began craving Diet Coke with every meal and between meals." He	
devours McDonald's and KFC and (COUNT) lesser	countless
treats of British make, to find out what happens to a normal body when	over-
(EXPOSE) to the stuff.	exposed
The book isn't just a chronicle of his diet-induced damage; page after	
exhausting page is given over to the foundations of	
(NUTRITION) science. (We are told to say of someone not that he "is	nutritional

obese" but, rather, that he "has (OBESE).") The grim tale	obesity
eventually takes van Tulleken on a long (FLY) to	flight
backcountry Brazil, where he discovers that the Nestlé Corporation has	
brought its snacks, by boat, to Indigenous peoples, with the	
(PREDICT) effect of making Amazonian kids prefer junk	predictable
food to the ancient and healthy staples of roots and berries. This	
shocking (OCCUR) reveals how deeply and	occurrence
(NOTICE) global food systems can shift local cultures.	noticeably
"I have not found any evidence that there were children with diet-related	
diabetes in these parts of Brazil until enterprises like the Nestlé boat,"	
he writes. We are being (PURPOSE) addicted, and on a	purpose-
planetary scale, he concludes. Ultra-processed foodstuffs will alter our	fully
children's brains and (SLAVE) them to a global capitalist	enslave
economy, a truly (FRAUD) bargain disguised in	fraudulent
(GLAMOUR) packaging.	glamorous
Van Tulleken slowly sickens from his food, and the reader sickens with	
him. It's true that his (WARN) about insidious mind	warnings
control are dubiously reminiscent of earlier warnings about the	
smartphone. Still, his account of what happens to our food during its trip	
to our gut, and the connection that bad food has to the epidemics of	
obesity and diabetes is (PERSUADE) and scary.	persuasive
At the same time, pondering his pages suggests a more complicated	
taxonomy than the one he offers. What, truly, is and is not processed?	
Some of the foods on his (DANGER) diet — like lasagna	dangerous
and chocolate — have been part of many people's diets long before the	
U.P.F. industry arose, and his lasagna, though supermarket-bought	
rather than homemade, isn't what we usually mean by junk food. A long	
discussion concerns whether Heinz baked beans, a staple of the British	
working-class diet, counts as U.P.F. (They make an	
(APPEAR) in the great 1967 album "The Who Sell Out," both on the cover	appearance
and as a song title.) He finally gives the beans a dispensation, more, one	
feels, on the ground of class than of kind.	
That hazy ideal of purity has long lingered like a halo above the discourse	
about food (ADD). The estimable Michael Pollan, for	additives

instance, tells us that "Great-Grandmother never cooked with guar gum,	
carrageenan, mono- and diglycerides, hydrolyzed vegetable protein,	
(MODIFY) food starch, soy lecithin and any number of	modified
other ingredients found in processed food." But why is guar gum,	
extracted from one seed, any more (ART) than	artificial
cornstarch, extracted from another (originally by means of a method	
patented in the eighteen-fifties by a British industrialist)? Some version	
of carrageenan, which comes from the seaweed Irish moss, has been	
used in cooking for centuries; Great-Grandmother certainly used the	
lecithin from egg yolks, if not from soy oil, to emulsify her sauces.	
Vegetable protein can get hydrolyzed when proteins are exposed to	
acids, which is why hydrolyzed vegetable proteins are a regular product	
of (FERMENT) and pickling. Technical names can make	fermen-
the familiar seem alien. We'd be put off if something were described as	tation
a concoction of luteolin, hydroxytyrosol, apigenin, oleic acid, and	
oleocanthal — but they're all (NATURE) components of	natural
your extra-virgin olive oil.	
The history of humanity is the history of processing foodstuffs — by fire,	
by smoke, by pounding and pulverizing — and it can be hard to find a	
boundary between those ever more hallowed	traditional
(TRADITION) kitchen practices and the modern ones that we are asked	
to condemn.	
The questions that van Tulleken raises about "addiction" are more	
profound — exactly because the question of addiction seems to spread	
so (READY) from the food on our plates to the phones in	readily
our hands and our children's. Van Tulleken is preoccupied by the issue	
of whether ultra-processed food retrains our brains, and he finds that	
when we consume U.P.F. new patterns are indeed grooved into our	
(NEURON) circuits, producing ever sharper hungers.	neuronal
Yet, unless we believe in ineffable phantoms of thought, every emotion	
and compulsion must be registered somewhere in our brains. This is as	
true of my taste for Sondheim as of my taste for sugar. I am, certainly, a	
sugar addict; I have a hard time drinking my morning coffee without a	
cube or two. But I am also a print addict of a kind, and will panic if I don't	

have a book to read on a long plane flight (PRESUME),	presumably
both addictions show up as some pattern of activated neurons; one	
seems (HEALTH) and one positive only because of how	unhealthy
they affect the world outside myself, not because of how they light up	
inside me.	
Besides, (DIET) addictions of this kind long preceded	dietary
the introduction of ultra-processed food. The Scottish	poet
(POETRY) and aphorist Don Paterson has a hair-raising chapter in his	
marvellous new memoir, "Toy Fights," about sugar addiction in the	
Scottish family and town where he grew up — just as intense as the kind	
of food addiction van Tulleken ascribes to contemporary techniques,	
though the processing here is the ancient one of sugarcane	
(REFINE). Such addictions of food or drink, if properly	refinement
called so, (HARD) seem an artifact of our era.	hardly
So one can wonder how (HELP) it is to characterize our	helpful
penchant for junk food as an addiction. Everything we like can be cast as	
an addiction in some sense.	
No man is a hypocrite in his pleasures, Dr. Johnson once wrote; but we	
are all hypocrites in our (PROHIBIT). I wouldn't let a box	pro-
of processed breakfast cereal into my house, and yet rulings about what	hibitions
we eat make me uneasy. The act of eating bridges bodily gratification,	
cultural identity, and physiological (NECESSARY). We	necessity
can say of someone "It's a shame he never tasted ice cream" in a way	
we would never say "It's a shame he never got to smoke a cigarette".	
There is an element of what can still be called	
(INNOCENCE) pleasure in eating. It's true that the innocent pleasure	innocent
might not be so innocent, but even as we undermine the innocence the	
pleasure itself remains unsullied. Food is (ESSENCE) to	essential
our existence, and, accepting this (INSTINCT), we	in-
accept with it the possibility that some of the things we like to eat may	stinctively
not be the best for our longevity. We rightly try to avoid them, restrict	
them, discourage them. But, as someone once said, there's no point in	
dying in good health.	

In Shakespeare's sense, food made by human artifice is just as natural	
as the organic apple we seek out each Saturday at a farmers' market. The	
merely aesthetic argument against bad food may be the strongest	
argument of all: as van Tulleken rightly insists, there is simply something	
creepy about eating things whose (COMPOSE) we can't	com-
comprehend. We have to pick and choose from what we like and what's	position
good for us, even if we can't resolve what, exactly, is nature and what art.	
The two (REASON) questions of diet are: What pleasure	reasonable
does it provide when you eat it? and Will it kill you sooner than you	
deserve to die? Everything else is only the cosmopolitan	
(CONFUSE) on our plates, which is neither wholly nature nor entirely art	confusion
— just (NOURISH) and taste, in their eternal tangle. ♦	nourish-
	ment

- 1. Why does the author mention the difference between a fresh prawn and one that has been cooked? What does this suggest about how humans change natural elements?
- 2. What point does Lévi-Strauss's idea of the "culinary triangle" add to the discussion of how people handle food spoilage?
- 3. How does the practice of preserving food illustrate humanity's constant struggle against decay?
- 4. What does the reference to Sisyphus imply about the repetitive nature of keeping food edible?
- 5. How does the popularity of fermented vegetables today contrast with public attitudes toward industrially altered foods?
- 6. Why is it difficult to draw a clear line between foods that are simply kept fresh and those that are industrially transformed?
- 7. How does Chris van Tulleken use himself as an example in his investigation? What does this say about his approach?
- 8. What effect did the author of *Ultra-Processed People* notice about his feelings toward what he ate while on his experiment?

- 9. How did van Tulleken's dietary experiment affect his family, and what does this imply about the reach of processed food?
- 10. What does the story of the Nestlé boat in Brazil reveal about how multinational companies influence traditional diets?
- 11. Why does the author suggest that people are intentionally pushed toward craving mass-produced snacks?
- 12. What does van Tulleken argue about the impact of processed goods on young people's brains and habits?
- 13. Why does the author compare modern fears about food manipulation to past concerns over mobile technology?
- 14. Why does the text mention foods like lasagna and chocolate when discussing ultra-processed products?
- 15. What dilemma does the example of Heinz baked beans highlight in defining which foods are acceptable or not?
- 16. Why does the author question whether using chemical-sounding names makes familiar ingredients seem unnatural?
- 17. What point is made by comparing old household cooking practices with present-day industrial additives?
- 18. How does van Tulleken connect food cravings to how our brains form patterns?
- 19. What does the text imply about whether labeling unhealthy eating habits as "addiction" is truly useful?
- 20. Why does the author suggest that rules about what we should consume may never completely remove the pleasure people get from eating certain things?

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/books/under-review/can-we-get-kids-off-smartphones

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

Can We Get Kids Off Smartphones? We know that social media is bad for young people, who need more time—and freedom—offline. But the collective will to fix this problem is hard to find.

By Jessica Winter

March 28, 2024	
The exact causes of the Gen Z mental-health emergency will be parsed for	
years to come, but the (SEVERE) of the crisis itself is, at	severity
this point, beyond question. Members of Gen Z, who were born between	
the mid-to-late nineties and the early twenty-tens, tend to be lonelier than	
the members of previous generations. They are more anxious and	
depressed; they get less sleep. They more (COMMON)	commonly
think that their lives hold no (MEAN). They are more likely	meaning
to harm themselves or experience (SUICIDE) ideation.	suicidal
(Suicide deaths among children ages ten to fourteen more than doubled	
between 2007 and 2017.) They are more wary of, or just less interested in,	
the things that were once milestones of (FREE): drinking,	freedom
dating, having sex, getting driver's licenses, moving out of their parents'	
houses.	
"On average," the social (PSYCHOLOGY) and N.Y.U.	psycholo-
professor Jonathan Haidt writes in "The Anxious Generation: How the	gist
Great Rewiring of Childhood Is Causing an Epidemic of Mental	
(ILL)," "people born in and after 1996 were different,	illness
(PSYCHOLOGY), from those who had been born just a few	psycho-
years earlier." From childhood, Haidt suggests, they suffer from a weak	logically
"psychological immune system — the (ABLE) of a child to	ability

handle, process, and get past frustrations, minor accidents, teasing,	
(EXCLUDE), perceived injustices, and normal conflicts	exclusion
without falling prey to hours or days of inner turmoil." This	
immunosuppression persists into adolescence and beyond, fostering	
higher proportions of (NERVE), avoidant young adults.	nervous
For Haidt, the (EXPLAIN) is partly cultural and partly	expla-
technological. The oldest members of Gen Z were in middle school in 2009	nation
and 2010, when Facebook added the Like button, Twitter added the	
Retweet option, and smartphones' front-facing cameras became	
ubiquitous, launching the age of the selfie. The effect of these tools, Haidt	
writes, was to attach kids to "a firehose of social	com-
(COMPARE)" that pummelled their self-esteem at a critical moment of	parison
cognitive and psychological (DEVELOP). Studies show	develop-
that, the more kids use social media, the more likely they are to experience	ment
anxiety and depression. And constant discussion and self-diagnosis of	
mental-health disorders on TikTok, Instagram, and elsewhere may	
contribute to what two University of Oxford researchers call "prevalence	
inflation," in which people mistake everyday stress and discomfort as	
signs of a serious disorder "in a way that is ultimately self-fulfilling." As an	
example, the scholars note that "interpreting low levels of anxiety as	
symptomatic of an anxiety disorder might lead to	be-
(BEHAVIOUR) avoidance, which can further exacerbate anxiety	havioural
symptoms."	
By the time that smartphones and social media were becoming	
omnipresent, in the late two-thousands and early twenty-tens, children	
were also spending less and less time engaged in unstructured, largely	de-
unsupervised play with their peers. This (DEPRIVE) owed	privation
to their parents' concerns for their (SAFE) — a fretfulness	safety
known as "safetyism" — and to a (COMPETE), college-	com-
fixated mind-set that prioritized adult-led, résumé-building, and	petitive
"enrichment" activities. Unaccompanied kids doing normal kid things like	
walking home from school or visiting a playground became conspicuous,	
strange, perhaps even the subject of a 911 call or a C.P.S. investigation.	
The (SUBURBS) or small-town nine-year-old who, a	suburban

generation before, would have been running around outside with the other	
neighbourhood kids all afternoon is now indoors, staring at her phone.	
Alas, for her, children who miss out on free play are worse at taking risks,	
reading social cues, making friends, and resolving conflicts.	
Improvisational, unmonitored play functions as(EXPOSE)	exposure
the rapy for life itself. In a commentary published last year in The Journal of	
Pediatrics which summarized the causal links between free play and	
mental health, the authors declared that "the decline in children's	
independent (ACTIVE) and, hence, in mental wellbeing is	activity
a national and international health crisis and should be treated as such."	
Of course, fretting about the deficiencies of contemporary youth is an	
ancient tradition. Elders have always overreacted to the supposedly mind-	
altering properties of certain (TECHNOLOGY)	techno-
advancements, from the printing press to the television set. Haidt is one of	logical
America's more prominent hand-wringers about kids these days, owing to	
a viral Atlantic piece that he co-authored, in 2015, with the attorney and	
free-speech activist Greg Lukianoff, headlined "The Coddling of the	
American Mind." In that article, and in the 2018 book of the same name,	
Haidt and Lukianoff portrayed a bubble-wrapped generation that had been	
raised to be "fragile, anxious, and easily hurt," and railed against what they	
identified as a student-directed movement "to scrub campuses clean of	
words, ideas, and subjects that might cause discomfort or give	
(OFFEND)." The corrosive cleaning agents, according to	offence
the authors, included trigger warnings, spurious talk of	
"microaggressions," and demands for "safe spaces." Haidt and Lukianoff	
argued that Gen Z victims of safetyism were also, in higher end, its most	mis-
(MISCHIEF) perpetrators, gleefully weaponizing	chievous
(QUESTION) to root out dissent.	question-
The cognitive dissonance is especially uncomfortable because "The	naires
Anxious Generation" is, to a (CONSIDER) extent, a	con-
reiteration and expansion of "Coddling." But it is also a vastly superior	siderable
work. It's less hung up on campus-outrage stuff, and it benefits from six	
(ADD) years of research on how smartphones and social	additional

media dice the nerves and tamp the spirits of young people, particularly	
those between the ages of ten and fifteen.	
There is also a surprising degree of (CERTAIN) about	certainty
possible countermeasures for what Haidt sums up as "overprotection in	
the real world and underprotection in the virtual world." In "The Anxious	
Generation," Haidt makes four core appeals to parents and	
(EDUCATE): more unstructured free play for children, no	educators
smartphones before high school, no social media before age sixteen, and	
no phones in schools. All of these strike me as not just	
(REASON) but irrefutably necessary. What is less clear is whether there is	reason-
enough collective and institutional will to accomplish them - or whether	able
our collective inertia will act as an (ACQUIT) for the status	acquittal
quo.	
A thread of safetyism still prevails among these parents. Some resisted	
buying their child a device but felt they had no choice once the kid started	
taking the subway or walking to school without adult	accom-
(ACCOMPANY). And I was surprised by the extent to which G.P.S. location-	paniment
tracking of kids is treated as a given, as ubiquitous and indispensable as	
backpacks and sneakers. Several parents said that they weren't sure how	
to balance preserving their children's (PRIVATE) — their	privacy
ability to have a sacred space carved out from their parents to grow into	
themselves — and monitoring their online and offline behavior in order to	
keep them safe. One told me, "I am definitely a helicopter parent, and my	
generation is full of helicopter parents, because we've given them access	
to this, like, horrifying void."	
These parents noticed how lonely their kids often seem to be, and how	
social media simultaneously fills and widens that	empty-
(EMPTY). Although online forums can provide some of the	ness
(TOGETHER) that young people crave, ideally, Haidt writes, most of their	together-
interactions should unfold in person, unmediated by screens, which	ness
requires (EMOTION) effort and investment.	emotional
Many teens are aware that smartphones disrupt their sleep, their moods,	
and their self-image, but they believe, as several parents told me, that	
giving up their phones would kill their social lives. Research has shown	

that, when adolescents abstain from social media for a while, their mental	
health improves even as their (ISOLATE) from their friends	isolation
who are still on the platforms increases; a smart, emotionally	
(INTELLIGENCE) kid can recognize the merits of this	intelligent
trade-off and still choose to keep their TikTok and Snapchat accounts. One	
parent talked about her daughter's experience at a	presti-
(PRESTIGE) summer program, where, not long after arriving, she found	gious
herself sitting with a group of other new arrivals; rather than getting	
acquainted, the rest of the kids were all staring at their phones, and she	
didn't have one. She told her mother, "It was so stupid. But, in that	
moment, I wanted to be stupid, too."	
Several of the parents favourably mentioned the Wait Until 8th	
(MOVE), which encourages parents to sign a pledge not to	move-
give their children smartphones until the end of eighth grade or later. But	ment
few parents expressed much hope about forging collective action against	
phones, in part because they didn't know enough other families who were	
(SUFFICIENT) informed or exercised about the risks. Haidt	suf-
stresses that his core recommendations require commitment from a	ficiently
critical mass of parents, schools, and communities in order to	
(WEAK) the network effects of social media and free teen-	weaken
agers to pursue other means of fulfilling their God-given right to be stupid	
together.	
The overwhelming consensus among these parents was that schools	
should stringently limit or ban cell-phone use, a measure that has growing	
legal momentum in some states. Last year, Utah sued TikTok and Meta for	
being addictive and (HARM) to children, and passed laws	harmful
tightening age (VERIFY) and parental-consent	verifi-
requirements for social-media accounts; more recently, Utah's governor,	cation
Spencer Cox, has been urging principals and school-board members	
across the state to remove cell phones during class.	
Individual schools that already enforce ironclad no-phones policies seem	
to be ecstatic about their results. Last year, the School of the Future, a	
public school in Manhattan, began requiring students to use Yondr phone-	
locking pouches for the (ENTIRE) of the school day. In	entirety

March, the administration sent an e-mail to parents describing the policy	
as a " (MASS) success," citing "increased student	massive
engagement in the classroom, less time spent in the bathrooms and	
hallways, more genuine connections within the community and a	
decrease in reports of cyberbullying."	Sur-
(SURPRISE), though, the biggest	prisingly
(OPPOSE) of the movement for phone-free schools are parents. In a truly	op-
apocalyptic essay for Slate titled "The Parents in My Classroom," a ninth-	ponents
grade English teacher in Evanston, Illinois, describes, among other	
abominations, a mother who expected her kid to text her every class	
period, a mother whose kid sent her a photograph of a quiz he was in the	
middle of taking (she immediately e-mailed the teacher to ask if her son	
could take it the next day), and parents who ran surveillance on their	
children's classes via their school-issued Chromebooks.	
Despite the general consensus about kids and phones, the increasing	
(RELY) of schools on tablets and laptops means that most	reliance
students are simply trading one screen for another, with many remaining	
glued to some permutation of social media, video games, and their	
hovering parents.	
The collective task of giving younger kids more (PHYSICS)	physical
and psychological space to roam is daunting. It would involve somehow	
loosening the grip of time-intensive competitive-sports programs on entire	
communities. It would require reversing decades-long trends not only in	
the privatization of public space but in housing, municipal planning,	
roadway design, and traffic-law enforcement, which have rendered the	
U.S. one of the least (WALK) developed countries in the	walkable
world. It would also demand undoing the safetyist mind-set. However,	
laissez-faire a parent might wish to be, if you drop off your capable third	
grader for an afternoon of free (EXPLORE) around the	ех-
Prospect Park ravine, you can expect some questioning from an officer of	ploration
the Seventy-eighth Precinct later that day.	
In "The Anxious Generation," Haidt suggests one relatively easy remedy,	
realizable in the short term, that schools could take on themselves:	

play," in which kids don't have access to phones but otherwise have "nearly complete autonomy." During these sessions, adults, like lifeguards, would "intervene only in the case of an emergency." These play clubs are, of course, ______ (GEOGRAPHY) constrained, and structured in their unstructuredness. Many schools may lack the right sorts of playgrounds or may be scared off by liability concerns. But it's an idea — a start. ◆

- 1. What does the author imply about the magnitude of the current youth mental health crisis?
- 2. In what ways do younger generations today tend to feel more socially disconnected than those before them?
- 3. According to Haidt, how does a fragile "psychological immune system" affect a young person's ability to handle minor setbacks?
- 4. How did technological changes around 2009–2010 contribute to the constant peer judgment that teenagers now face?
- 5. What is "prevalence inflation," and how does it affect how young people interpret everyday stress?
- 6. What does the text suggest about the relationship between structured activities and a decline in childhood autonomy?
- 7. How does the lack of spontaneous, child-directed play influence kids' capacity for taking calculated risks later in life?
- 8. Why does the author mention the historical tendency of adults to panic about new communication tools?
- 9. How does Haidt's recent book differ from his earlier work on overprotection and campus culture?
- 10. What four actions does Haidt recommend to address the imbalance between realworld caution and online exposure?
- 11. Why does the writer question whether these proposed solutions can be widely enforced?
- 12. How has the widespread use of location-tracking affected parents' ability to balance children's safety with their need for personal boundaries?

- 13. In what way does social media both fill and deepen young people's sense of isolation?
- 14. Why might some teenagers knowingly continue using platforms that they understand to be mentally draining?
- 15. What obstacle do families face in forming a joint front against early smartphone adoption?
- 16. How have some states responded legally to concerns about the addictive qualities of social media?
- 17. What unintended consequence does the increased reliance on school-issued digital devices create for students' screen habits?
- 18. According to the text, why is reclaiming outdoor independence for kids so logistically challenging in the U.S.?
- 19. What is Haidt's suggested short-term measure for giving children more unsupervised play, and how would adults act during these times?
- 20. Why might even this relatively simple plan for freer play be difficult to implement everywhere?

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/news/the-financial-page/why-harvard-can-afford-to-stand-up-to-donald-trump

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

Why Harvard Can Afford to Stand Up to Donald Trump The university's \$53.2-billion endowment has positioned it to resist the bullying tactics of an increasingly authoritarian President. By John Cassidy April 21, 2025 A week after Harvard University _____ (ESSENCE) told the essentially Trump Administration to go jump into the Charles River, there are signs that its _____ (DEFY) may be rattling the White House. On defiance Friday, the Times, citing _____ (ANONYMITY) sources anonymous familiar with the matter, reported that the letter containing the Administration's demands for a top-to-bottom revamp of Harvard, which even the conservative _____ (EDITOR) page of the *Wall* editorial Street Journal described as "_____ (EFFECT) a federal effectively receivership," was sent without proper authorization. According to the story, the sender was one of the members of the Presidential task force on antisemitism, which is leading the crusade against top research universities. The *Times* also quoted a White House (OFFICE), the senior policy strategist May Mailman, who said official (NEGOTIATE) between the two sides could still negotiations resume. Whether or not Donald Trump will blink, as he did a couple of weeks ago when his _____ (PUNISH) tariff proposals caused punitive eruptions in the stock and bond markets, isn't entirely clear yet. But it seems like the Administration was taken aback by Harvard's

(REFUSE) to buckle before the President's threats in	refusal
the same way that Columbia University and certain law firms did.	
Perhaps some people in the White House now realize that, even as it	
has halted more than two billion dollars in federal funding to Harvard,	
it has taken on an adversary that is rich and (POWER)	powerful
enough to fight back.	
As a tax-exempt not-for-profit, Harvard doesn't have any shareholders,	
but, like other big (CHARITY) organizations and major	charitable
corporations, it releases an annual report on its finances. The latest	
one, which covers its 2024 (FINANCE) year, said that	financial
the university "generated an operating surplus of \$45 million on a	
revenue base of \$6.5 billion." That pot of money was used to finance an	
institution that encompasses Harvard College, twelve graduate	
schools, and the Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study. In total,	
Harvard has close to twenty-five thousand students and employs about	
twenty thousand people.	
Last year, roughly \$685 million of Harvard's funding—about eleven per	
cent—came from the federal government in research grants and other	
transfers. That was a large sum, (OBVIOUS). But about	obviously
\$2.4 billion, more than three times as much, came in distributions from	
Harvard's own massive (ENDOW), which was worth	endowment
\$53.2 billion at the end of the year—the largest of any school in the	
country. "Our financial resources, built over years through disciplined	
(PLAN) and sound financial management, allow	planning
Harvard's schools and units to withstand shocks," the annual report	
said. "They also provide the capacity to invest in new programs and	
pedagogies, fostering the academic (EXCEL) that is	excellence
both Harvard's hallmark and its aim."	
Trump's attempt to undermine Harvard's independence is probably the	
biggest shock the university has faced since Harvard College was	
founded, in 1636; shortly after its establishment, the school received a	trans-
(TRANSFORM) deathbed bequest from the Puritan	formative
John Harvard. Federal (FUND) in the second half of the	funding
twentieth century helped build up Harvard and other private schools	

into big research institutions. But élite universities have also gone to	
great (LONG) to insure that they have enormous pools	lengths
of endowment wealth to draw upon. In the past few decades, their	
riches and tax-free status have attracted attention from critics on the	
left and the right, who accuse them of prioritizing their endowments	
over all else, favoring legacy (APPLY) to reward	applicants
(DONATE), and failing to provide adequate support for	donors
their local communities (POLITICS) in true-blue	politicians
Cambridge and Boston have long been pushing Harvard to pay more in	
property taxes; last year, two members of the Massachusetts state	
legislature proposed a 2.5-per-cent annual excise tax on Harvard's	
endowment, with the proceeds to be used to subsidize	
(EDUCATE) for lower and middle-income families.	education
But now that Trump is shutting off funding, or at least threatening to, at	
sixty schools, Harvard's endowment has taken on a new purpose,	
positioning the school to be the first bulwark against a rapidly	
advancing front. When Harvard's lawyers, in a letter responding to the	
White House's ultimatums, said that the school was "not prepared to	
agree to demands that go beyond the (LAW) authority	lawful
of this or any administration," they were basically telling the	
Administration that they would see it in court, where the university	
would be able to make a strong case that the government's actions are	
illegal. Nevertheless, in order for the university to sustain itself during	
the (LENGTH) legal battle likely to come, it will need to	lengthy
make up for a big funding gap, and that is where its \$53.2-billion war	
chest comes in. "Harvard's endowment is not there just to be envied or	
admired," Lawrence Summers, the Harvard	
(ECONOMY) who is a former president of the university and a former	economist
U.S. Treasury Secretary, told me. "It's there to be used, and it is hard to	
imagine a better use than maintaining the (CONTINUE)	continuity
of its operations at a moment of great threat like the present."	
Although John Harvard's bequest to the school set an early precedent,	
it wasn't until the Gilded Age of the late nineteenth century that	
business magnates such as John D. Rockefeller, who helped finance	

the (CREATE) of the University of Chicago, made large	creation
gifts to educational institutions a (PHILANTHROPY)	philanthropic
tradition. And it wasn't until 1917 that Congress created tax deductions	
for individual donations to not-for-profit institutions, such as churches	
and universities. By 1920, Harvard's endowment was the biggest in the	
country, a position it has never relinquished, Bruce Kimball, an	
emeritus professor of education at Ohio State who is the co-author of	
the book "Wealth, Cost, and Price in American Higher Education," said.	
With financial markets having enjoyed a long boom, 2022 apart, the	
Harvard endowment has generated an average annual return of 9.3 per	
cent over the past seven years, a figure that is	
(COMFORT) higher than the returns generated by Vanguard's global	comfortably
60/40 index, which tracks the (PERFORM) of the time-	performance
honored investment strategy of amassing a diversified portfolio of	
stocks and bonds. Combined with a flood of new donations from rich	
alumni and others, the endowment's high returns have resulted in its	
value rising from \$37.1 billion in 2017 to today's figure of more than \$50	
billion. And, in the same period, its annual disbursements to the	
university have risen from \$1.7 billion to \$2.4 billion.	
Despite these (IMPRESS) figures, though, lately there	impressive
has been some confusion about the extent to which Harvard and other	
universities with big endowments are able to access the large stores of	
wealth they contain. Facing (PRESS) from students	pressure
and politicians to use endowments to reduce sky-high tuition fees,	
university leaders have long emphasized that they largely consist of	
"restricted" funds that their donors gave to finance professorships, or	
libraries, or the (MAINTAIN) of buildings, and which	maintenance
can't be diverted to other uses. In its annual financial report, Harvard	
referred to the notion that endowments can be "accessed like checking	
accounts" as a "common (MISCONCEIVE)."	mis-
It's true that a good deal of the endowment's money is tied up in	conception
(AMBITION) projects linked to individual donors. In	ambitious
Allston, the Boston neighborhood that lies directly across the Charles	
River from Harvard Square, in 2020, Harvard opened a grand new	

building that houses the John A. Paulson School of	
(ENGINE) and Applied Sciences—Paulson is a hedge-fund billionaire—	engineering
and later this year it is planning to open a conference center named	
after the private-equity baron David Rubenstein. Over all, restricted	
funds make up about eighty per cent of Harvard's endowment. But it's	
hardly strapped for (ACCESS) funds: the endowment	accessible
also contains nearly ten billion dollars in unrestricted donations,	
which, subject to some legal caveats, the university has more	
(FLEXIBLE) to utilize.	flexibility
In addition, Harvard has about two billion dollars of liquid investments,	
such as Treasury bonds, which are outside of the endowment.	
Furthermore, it has the ability to raise large sums of money in the credit	
markets, where it has a top-notch credit rating. Just two weeks ago, on	
the eve of defying the Trump Administration, it announced that it would	
issue \$750 million in bonds, which is more than the total funding it	
received from the federal government last year. Although it might seem	
a bit strange for a university with an endowment worth more than fifty	
billion dollars to go out and borrow money, the bond issuance was	
perfectly (SENSE) and is likely to be repeated if the	sensible
dispute drags on: it enabled Harvard to raise a lot of cash without	
conducting a fire sale of any of its assets, many of which are illiquid.	
In short, Harvard has a number of ways to access and	
(MOBILE) the wealth in its endowment. Normally, the university's	mobilize
endowment aims to distribute about five per cent of its over-all	
portfolio on an annual basis. But, in an emergency, it could almost	
certainly afford to disburse an extra billion dollars a year, say, until	
2028. Depending on what happens in the financial markets, such a	
move wouldn't even necessarily involve running down its portfolio,	
although its (GROW) would be restricted.	growth
To put it another way, Harvard can afford to stand up to Trump, at least	
for now. (If the halt to federal funding dragged on	indefinitely
(DEFINITE), that would obviously be a different matter.) This surely	
explains why, in the course of the past week, the President has been	
escalating his threats and targeting its endowment directly by	

suggesting in a social-media post that Harvard should lose its tax-	
exempt status. According to reporting by CNN and	
the Washington Post, Treasury officials have asked the Internal	
Revenue Service to act upon this idea. "There is total extralegality	
here," Summers pointed out. "In my time, anyone who walked into the	
Treasury was told that getting involved in the (TREAT)	treatment
of an individual taxpayer or individual institution was an absolutely	
forbidden thing—like taking a big bribe. The idea that the President of	
the United States would give the instruction (PUBLIC),	publicly
and that it would then be acted upon by Treasury officials, would have	
been (THINK) in any other Administration."	unthinkable
In the era of Trump 2.0, previously unfathomable things happen every	
day. (According to Semafor, the Administration is also planning to	
restrict the investments of big university endowments, Harvard's	
included.) To some right-wing activists inside and outside the	
Administration, bringing the Ivy League to heel is part of a broader	
project to smash (LIBERAL) and realign the country's	liberalism
values and major institutions on a conservative basis—an American	
"war of position," to use the Gramscian phrase. For Trump—a proud	
graduate of Wharton, even if, according to his estranged niece Mary L.	
Trump, he got another person to sit for his SAT (an allegation that the	
White House denied)—the agenda seems personal: punishing	
institutions that he perceives as political (OPPOSE)	opponents
and demanding public acts of submission, in addition to riling up his	
base and diverting attention from a weakening economy.	
In this instance, though, the Administration is not attempting to trample	
on (POWER) civil servants or migrants, or	powerless
pusillanimous law firms, or universities that don't have as much money	
as Harvard does. For whatever reason, it has picked on an adversary	
the likes of which Trump and his billionaire allies can well recognize:	
one that is as rich as Croesus. For the education sector as a whole, and	
for the (PRESERVE) of academic freedom, Kimball	preservation
pointed out to me, the decision to target Harvard may turn out to be a	mis-
fortunate (CALCULATE). "But Harvard also needs	calculation

friends," Kimball added. "It needs other schools and other institutions	
to stand with it." For institutions that don't have anything like the	
financial resources that Harvard does, this may not be an easy	
(OPT). Still, assuming that Harvard goes ahead with a	option
legal battle to repulse the Administration's assault, its	
(ACT) could have important ramifications not just for other universities	actions
but also for broader efforts to resist Trump's encroachments. At a time	
when many people in higher education, and elsewhere, had been losing	
hope, that's a positive development. As hints emerge that the White	
House may now be looking for Harvard to accept a squalid deal that	
compromises its independence and affords the President enough	
concessions for him to declare victory, the leaders of America's oldest	
and wealthiest institution of higher learning must stand firm. ♦	

- 1. Why did Harvard's reaction to the federal demands appear to catch the government off guard?
- 2. What does the text suggest about Harvard's capacity to withstand financial threats compared to other universities?
- 3. How did the source for the Times story provide information without revealing their identity?
- 4. What role did Harvard's legal team play in responding to the White House's demands?
- 5. How did the administration's actions differ in their approach to Harvard compared to other schools like Columbia?
- 6. Why is Harvard's large reserve fund so significant in this conflict?
- 7. What does the article imply about the long-term planning behind Harvard's financial strategy?
- 8. How did donations from wealthy benefactors in the late 1800s influence modern university funding?

- 9. Why has Harvard's financial cushion drawn criticism from both ends of the political spectrum?
- 10. What arguments have local politicians made regarding Harvard's contribution to city finances?
- 11. What did Harvard's past benefactors intend by placing conditions on much of their donations?
- 12. In what ways could Harvard use its wealth in an emergency?
- 13. What potential legal argument does Harvard have against the Administration's actions?
- 14. Why did Harvard recently choose to issue new bonds despite its massive investment pool?
- 15. What does the text imply about the risks of a prolonged dispute over federal support?
- 16. How does the Administration's targeting of Harvard connect to a broader ideological campaign?
- 17. Why does the author describe the attempt to revoke Harvard's tax privileges as unusual?
- 18. According to the passage, what precedent does the Administration risk setting by attacking Harvard's funding?
- 19. What concern does Kimball express about the wider academic community's ability to resist similar pressure?
- 20. How could Harvard's decision to fight back affect other educational institutions in the future?

6.

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2025/06/30/do-we-need-another-green-revolution

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

Do We Need Another Green Revolution? As the global population grows, we'll have to find ways of feeding the planet without accelerating climate change.

By Elizabeth Kolbert June 23, 2025 A few months ago, more than a hundred Nobel laureates released an open letter predicting that "we are not on track to meet future food needs. Not even close." The Green Revolution got off to a _____ (ROCK) start. In the rockv fall of 1944, Norman Borlaug, who would become known as the revolution's father, moved to Mexico to set up a plant-breeding program. Right away, he came down with a stomach crud. It was, he would later tell an _____ (INTERVIEW), "the usual tourist interviewer thing," except that it lasted for weeks. Though he had found his previous _____ (POSE), with DuPont, to be boring, in those position weeks Borlaug decided that maybe it hadn't been so bad. "If I could have gotten my job back at DuPont, I would have," he said. Borlaug had gone to Mexico _____ (SPECIFY) to work with specifically wheat, which was being devastated by a fungal disease called stem rust. When he got well enough to travel around the country, he became depressed by what he found. In the Bajío, a region northwest of Mexico City, the farmers were desperately poor. Their wheat didn't seem to grow so much as "fight to stay alive," Borlaug wrote to his wife. "These places that I've seen have clubbed my mind."

Borlaug threw himself into an effort to produce a new	
(VARY) of wheat—one that would be both rust-resistant and higher-	variety
yielding. With the help of two Mexican (AGRONOMY),	agronomists
he gathered seeds from thousands of local varieties, planted them,	
and waited for them to mature. Most of the resulting plants	
succumbed to rust; the few that made it were crossed with one	
another to produce the next generation. To maximize his workdays,	
Borlaug often slept in a shack near his test fields, and, to speed up the	
breeding process, he shuttled between central Mexico, where wheat	
was grown in the summer, and (NORTH-WEST)	northwestern
Mexico, where he could get in a second crop in the winter.	
This went on for years. Progress was made; then it was unmade when	
a different "race" of stem rust swept through. Meanwhile, a new issue	
emerged. Mexican wheat varieties tended to send up tall, slender	
stalks. If they were dosed with (FERTILE), they became	fertilizer
more (PRODUCE) but grew so top-heavy that they fell	productive
over—a problem known as lodging. Borlaug began experimenting with	
a variety of dwarf wheat from Japan. He crossed the Japanese wheat	
with some (DOUBLE) rust-resistant varieties he had	doubly
developed. Finally, he got lucky. The transpacific crosses proved to be	
not just vigorous and high-yielding but also	
(SURPRISE) versatile. They grew well across a range of climate zones	surprisingly
and light conditions. In 1960, Borlaug invited farmers in the northern	
state of Sonora to visit a plot planted with a number of his best-	
performing dwarf wheat strains. The farmers went wild. They had been	
instructed to remain at a distance from the plot, but they refused to	
listen. Some grabbed at the wheat heads and pocketed the seeds.	
According to Charles C. Mann's "The Wizard and the Prophet" (2018),	
Borlaug—the wizard of the title—was secretly pleased by all the	
tumult.	
In later years, Borlaug liked to recite statistics illustrating his seeds'	
superiority. In a (SPEAK) he delivered in Australia in	Speech
1968, the year the term "Green Revolution" was coined, he noted that	
average wheat yields in Mexico, which had been around seven hundred	

and fifty kilos per hectare when he'd arrived, had since climbed to almost twenty-eight hundred kilos per hectare—a roughly fourfold increase. In western Pakistan, where versions of the Mexican varieties had been introduced in 1965, the results were similarly _ (DRAMA): average yields had risen, he found, by dramatic almost fifty per cent in just two years. But, as proud as he was of his seeds, Borlaug also saw their limits. When he received the Nobel Peace Prize, in 1970, he used his Nobel address to caution against _____ (COMPLACENT). The new complacency varieties of wheat he had bred, along with new strains of rice and corn which had subsequently been developed, represented, he said, only a "temporary success in man's war against hunger and ___ (DEPRIVE)." The world's population, he predicted, would continue to deprivation grow, and eventually the demand for food would again outstrip the supply. "Perhaps the term 'green revolution,' as commonly used, is _ (MATURE)," Borlaug worried out loud. premature Today, there are some 8.2 billion people on earth, more than twice as many as there were when Borlaug won his Nobel. This figure is expected to rise to almost ten billion by 2050. A few months ago, more than a hundred Nobel laureates released an open letter that echoed Borlaug's concerns. They predicted "a tragic _____ mismatch of global food supply and demand by mid-century." By their reckoning, "we are not on track to meet future food needs. Not even close." Do we need a second Green Revolution? And, if so, what form should it take? Two new books, Michael Grunwald's "We Are Eating the Earth: The Race to Fix Our Food System and Save Our Climate" (Simon & Schuster) and Vaclav Smil's "How to Feed the World: The History and Future of Food" (Viking), pursue these questions with varying degrees of urgency. Grunwald is a journalist whose previous books include a history of the Everglades. Humanity, he says, is facing "some terrible math." On one side of the equation is the growing need for food; Grunwald estimates that, to keep pace with demand, agricultural production will have to increase by fifty per cent over the next twenty-five years. On the other

side is climate change. Agriculture is a major source of greenhouse	
gases; depending on how you calculate it, the sector is	
(RESPOND) for between a tenth and a third of global	responsible
(EMIT). To stabilize the climate, this figure has to drop	emissions
to pretty much zero. We need to "feed the world without frying the	
world" is how Grunwald puts it.	
Grunwald spends a lot of "We Are Eating the Earth" interviewing people	
who have ideas about how this balancing act might be brought off. One	
group is pushing what's called "regenerative agriculture." Grunwald	
visits a ranch in Northern California co-owned by the billionaire	
(INVEST) and former Presidential candidate Tom	investor
Steyer. Instead of rotating his cows among fields every few weeks,	
Steyer restricts them to a small area and moves them more frequently. $ \\$	
The practice, known as "adaptive multi-paddock grazing," is supposed	
to increase the amount of carbon stored in the ranch's soils. This, in	
turn, is supposed to counteract some—or all—of the emissions from	
the operation's ruminants, which are constantly burping out methane,	
a (POWER) greenhouse gas. "If we can show	powerful
(SCIENCE) that this stuff really works," Steyer says,	scientifically
"that would be (PRICE)."	priceless
A second group wants to take agriculture indoors, thereby freeing up	
land to plant carbon-sucking forests. Grunwald tours a "vertical farm"	
built on the site of an abandoned steel mill in Newark. The farm—which	
is, in fact, an enormous warehouse—is filled with lettuce seedlings	
growing under banks of lights in a mist of	
(CHEMISTRY). The plants will never see the sun or touch soil. "The	chemicals
future is happening a lot faster than we expected," David Rosenberg,	
then the C.E.O. of AeroFarms, the company that owns the warehouse,	
assures Grunwald.	
Vertical farming and fake meat prove, if anything, more	
Vertical farming and fake meat prove, if anything, more (DISAPPOINT). Even with highly efficient L.E.D. bulbs,	disappointing
	disappointing
(DISAPPOINT). Even with highly efficient L.E.D. bulbs,	disappointing

electricity supply. This has financial as well as climate implications.	
AeroFarms ends up going bankrupt in 2023 (though it has since	
emerged from Chapter 11). Many of its (COMPETE)	competitors
follow suit.	
Grunwald interviews Beyond Meat's Ethan Brown just a few months	
after the company has gone public. Thanks to investor enthusiasm, it	
has a market (CAPITAL) of more than ten billion	capitalization
dollars. This figure has since dropped by ninety-eight per cent.	
Impossible Foods is privately held; what little information is available	
about its finances suggests that its value, too, has crumbled. Many	
other fake-meat ventures, meanwhile, have gone the way of	
AeroFarms. SCiFi, a company that wanted to create burgers out of a	
combination of plant-based ingredients and cultivated cells, went	
belly-up in 2024. Motif FoodWorks, a company that was using yeast to	
produce a meaty-tasting protein called Hemami, went out of	
(BUSY) the same year. (Motif's problems were	business
caused, in part, by a patent-infringement lawsuit filed by Impossible.)	
"Carbon farming and vertical farming are wildly overhyped," Grunwald	
concludes. "Plant-based meat has floundered in the market, while	
cultivated meat hasn't really made it to market." He adds, "I'm sorry	
about all that."	
Grunwald is an (ENGAGE) storyteller, and, to his	engaging
credit, he sticks with the "terrible math" even as it turns terribler and	
terribler. A reasonable takeaway from "We Are Eating the Earth" is that	
the feeding-without-frying equation is the sort that can be solved only	
with (IMAGINE) numbers.	imaginary
It's "trendy to (ROMANTIC) small family farms where	romanticize
soil is nurtured with love and animals have names rather than	
numbers," Grunwald writes. But "organic, local, and grass-fed are	
often worse for the climate than conventional, imported, and feedlot-	
finished." Grunwald travels to Denmark and Brazil with Tim	
Searchinger, a researcher at Princeton who has written	
(EXTEND) on the climate impacts of agriculture. "Bad	extensively
accounting destroys the world," Searchinger tells him.	

Vaclav Smil is a professor emeritus at the University of Winnipeg and	
the author of more than forty books, several of which also focus on	
farming. "How to Feed the World" is a typical Smilian work in that it is	
dense, declarative, and dismissive of lots of other work. "Over the past	
decade I have been (REPEAT) exasperated by people's	repeatedly
poor understanding and sheer (IGNORE) of life's many	ignorance
basic realities, be they concerning organisms or machines, crops or	
engines, food or fuels," he writes.	
In his (INTRODUCE), Smil waves aside climate	introduction
change, saying that he is not going to take up such "fashionable	
topics." Nevertheless, he, too, worries about agriculture's ecological	
impact. The global food system, he observes, needs to "accommodate	
the nearly 2 billion people that will be added to today's population by	
the middle of the 21st century" at the same time that it needs to	
"reduce its multitude of environmental burdens."	
Or consider efforts to improve on photosynthesis. Photosynthesis is	
woefully (EFFICIENCY)—even some of the most	Inefficient
productive crops convert less than one per cent of the	
(SUN) energy that hits them into calories—so streamlining the	solar
process, via gene editing, could produce significant gains. But Smil is	
(SCEPTICISM) that this can actually be accomplished.	sceptical
Photosynthesis has been around for hundreds of millions of years and	
is (PHENOMENON) complicated. "Prospects for any	phenomenal-
early commercial breakthroughs" on this front are, in his view,	ly
"meager."	
The good news, according to Smil, is that breakthroughs aren't	
necessary. The world could go a long way toward keeping up with food	
demand simply by better managing the supply. A report commissioned	
by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	
estimates that, (GLOBE), about forty per cent of fruits	globally
and vegetables, thirty per cent of cereal grains, and twenty per cent of	
meat and dairy products wind up (EAT). The problem	uneaten
is worst in affluent countries like the U.S., where more than two	
hundred pounds of food per person get thrown away each year. "Even	

modest food waste (REDUCE) would translate into	reductions
considerable cumulative savings," Smil observes.	
Then, there's the waste that results from improvident eating habits. If	
photosynthesis has a low conversion rate, feeding crops to animals	
compounds the problem many times over. According to Smil, corn	
"embodies" about 0.7 per cent of the solar energy that hits it; when	
corn is used as cow fodder, the resulting steaks embody only about	
0.002 per cent of the (ORIGIN) energy. Pigs and	original
chickens do better at turning grain into flesh. Still, producing a pound	
of pork or chicken takes many more resources than producing the	
same amount of, say, cornmeal. Reducing meat	
(CONSUME), Smil argues, would be "both rational and highly	consumption
(DESIRE)."	desirable
When Norman Borlaug died, in 2009, at the age of ninety-five,	
his <i>Times</i> obituary praised him for having done "more than anyone else	
in the 20th century to teach the world to feed itself." The Associated	
Press called him "equal parts scientist and	
(HUMANITY)," and MIT Technology Review described his life as one of	humanitarian
" (HERO) proportions." Were it not for Borlaug and the	heroic
Green Revolution, the world in the late twentieth century would have	
been a very different place. Food prices probably would have been a	
lot higher, the number of people who are (NOURISH)	malnourished
would have been greater, and even more millions of acres of forest	
would have been transformed into fields.	
And yet, by the time of Borlaug's death, his accomplishments were	
looking increasingly equivocal. The Green Revolution, critics pointed	
out, may have alleviated some problems, but it created	
(ADD) ones, and these tended to impose the highest	additional
burdens on (PRECISION) those communities the new	precisely
seeds were supposed to help.	
Borlaug's wheat varieties were highly productive. They were also fussy.	
They performed well only when showered with nutrients, pesticides,	
and water. This meant that the gains from planting them went	dispro-
(PROPORTION) to those who could afford such	portionately

"inputs"— which is to say, those farmers who were already relatively	
well off. The poorest farmers, for their part, often found themselves	
forced to sell out. Even if the Green Revolution reduced the price of a	
commodity like rice by sixty per cent, Raj Patel, a research professor at	
the University of Texas at Austin, has written that this would have been	
"little (CONSOLE)" to those farmers who "lost 100%	consolation
of their income." ♦	

- 1. What warning did the Nobel Prize winners issue in their recent declaration regarding the future supply of food?
- 2. Why did Borlaug initially doubt his choice to work in Mexico instead of staying with his previous employer?
- 3. What agricultural threat did Borlaug focus on when he first arrived in Mexico?
- 4. How did Borlaug react emotionally when he saw the condition of farmers' wheat crops in Mexico?
- 5. Which method did Borlaug adopt to ensure continuous experimentation and accelerate his breeding work?
- 6. What physical challenge did the Mexican wheat crops face when fertilizers were applied excessively?
- 7. How did the introduction of a Japanese strain help Borlaug solve the problem with wheat collapsing under its own weight?
- 8. What reaction did local farmers in Sonora have when they saw Borlaug's improved wheat plants?
- 9. By how much did wheat yields in Mexico increase between Borlaug's arrival and his speech in Australia?
- 10. What point did Borlaug emphasize in his Nobel address about the long-term impact of his agricultural breakthroughs?
- 11. According to recent estimates, why might the world face a significant shortfall in food availability by the middle of this century?
- 12. What dual challenge does Michael Grunwald highlight as humanity tries to feed a growing population while protecting the planet?

- 13. How does the practice of "adaptive multi-paddock grazing" claim to help mitigate climate impacts from cattle?
- 14. What is the main idea behind moving food production indoors, such as with vertical farming?
- 15. Despite technological advances, what major obstacle do indoor farms face when trying to replace traditional agriculture?
- 16. What trend has been observed in the financial success of companies that produce alternative meat products?
- 17. Why does Grunwald believe "regenerative agriculture" and indoor farming might not be the ultimate solution to feeding people sustainably?
- 18. How does Vaclav Smil differ from Grunwald in his view of climate change's place in the food production debate?
- 19. What potential does Smil see in minimizing the amount of discarded edible goods worldwide?
- 20. What unintended economic consequence did the Green Revolution have for some farmers who could not afford modern farming inputs?

7.

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/news/annals-of-a-warming-planet/what-is-the-oppositeof-oil-drilling

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

What Is the Opposite of Oil Drilling? A growing industry aims to remove carbon from the atmosphere—but it's still in its infancy, and greenhouse-gas emissions remain dangerously high.

D. Michelle Niihoid

By Michelle Nijhuis	
June 7, 2024	
Monte Markley, a (GEOLOGY) who lives on a farm near	geologist
Wichita, Kansas, describes his job as "putting things underground and keeping	
them there." As an (ENVIRONMENT) consultant, he	environ-
specializes in disposing of industrial waste in subterranean rock formations.	mental
"All through my career, I've helped industries deal with the things that come	
out of the back side of a plant that nobody wants to talk about," he told me. In	
early 2020, he got a call from Shaun Kinetic, a co-founder of a Bay Area	
company called Charm Industrial. Kinetic, who has experience building	
robots, satellites, and rockets, wanted to know how to dispose of a	
(PARTICULAR) troubling kind of waste: the excess carbon that	parti-
contributes to global warming.	cularly
Markley had worked with companies that were trying to capture and store their	
own carbon (EMIT) before they entered the atmosphere. But	emis-
Charm was working with carbon that was already in	sions
(CIRCULATE). The company was adapting a machine called a pyrolyzer, which	cir-
heats plant material such as cornstalks in an oxygen-free environment, so that	culation
the plants turned into bio-oil, a carbon-rich liquid with the color and	
(CONSIST) of dark maple syrup. Kinetic wanted to know	con-
whether it was feasible to dispose of bio-oil underground. Markley said that it	sistency

was—in fact, bio-oil would likely remain trapped there for centuries, if not	
longer. The process would resemble the drilling and burning of	con-
(CONVENTION) oil, but in reverse.	ventional
In late 2021, Kinetic called again. Charm's team hoped that, eventually, mobile	
pyrolyzers would allow the company to produce bio-oil on farms. To that end,	
Kinetic asked, could Charm Industrial test the latest version of its pyrolyzer on	
Markley's land? Markley talked it over with his wife, Anna. Together, they had	
restored the acres they now farm, and both had a long-standing interest in	
(CONSERVE); the search for climate solutions appealed to	con-
them. Markley remembers thinking, "Wouldn't it be cool to be able to tell our	servation
kids what we were a part of?" The couple signed an (AGREE)	agree-
to lease land to the company.	ment
In January, 2022, a procession of semitrailers delivered three large shipping	
containers to the Markleys' farm. Kinetic and his wife, Kelly, another Charm co-	
founder and the company's chief technical officer, arrived in their Kia three	
days later, having been delayed by a blizzard. Charm's engineers unpacked the	
pyrolyzer a few hundred yards from the Markleys' house; they had nicknamed	
the device the Apatosaurus, after the long-necked, herbivorous dinosaur.	
Markley was delighted by its gangly (COMPLEX). "It looked like	com-
something that would show up on Elon Musk's Twitter," he told me.	plexity
Then came the realities of a Midwestern winter. Snow piled up on the	
(CONTAIN) that housed the pyrolyzer	container
(FREEZE) temperatures stalled the machine's LCD screens. The pyrolyzer was	Freezing
designed to process ten metric tons of biomass per day, but cornstalks from	
nearby farms contained rocks and other debris that had to be filtered out. The	
machine often hummed until two in the morning. "My wife would be, like,	
'What have you done?!'" Markley recalled. The couple sometimes invited the	
tinkerers in for coffee or dinner. After six months, the number of tons of bio-oil	
that the Charm team had produced was in the single digits. The pyrolyzer	
worked, but nowhere near as well as it needed to.	
The best way to stave off (CATASTROPHE) climate change is	cata-
to stop burning fossil fuels. Lately, though, the world's leading climate	strophic
scientists have warned that a gradual phase-out of oil, gas, and coal won't be	
enough. If (HUMAN) is to keep the planet from warming more	humanity

than 1.5 degrees Celsius, we will likely need to remove at least a gigaton of	
carbon, and (POSSIBILITY) more than ten gigatons, from the	possibly
atmosphere every year — and to stash it somewhere for centuries to come.	
(One gigaton is more than twice the combined (WEIGH) of	weight
every person on the planet.) Critics of carbon (REMOVE) have	removal
long feared that it will offer polluters an easy way out, by giving them an excuse	
to continue their emissions. The real problem may be that there is nothing easy	
about it.	
Last summer, as Charm was preparing for its next field test, I travelled to the	
plains of (NORTH-EAST) Colorado to visit the company's	north-
"miniforge," which is neither miniature nor a functioning forge. Charm rents a	eastern
thirty-thousand-foot warehouse that looks, from the inside, like a very roomy	
tech company; about ten (EMPLOY) were sitting in front of	em-
computer screens, within easy reach of a pickleball court. The other side of the	ployees
warehouse smelled, incongruously, like a pine forest. The walls were stacked	
with two-hundred-and-fifty-gallon bags, each one filled with wood chips from	
trees that had been cut to curb wildfires. In the parking lot out back stood a	
pyrolyzer known as the NutriBullet, a roughly ten-foot-tall machine that was	
sheltered from the sun by a white tent. A narrow conveyor belt, rising	
(STEEP) to a series of boxy chambers, gave the setup a Rube	steeply
Goldberg aspect.	
The NutriBullet requires a small amount of propane to start up, but the	
biomass it processes provides enough energy to keep it going. Grumbling	
quietly, it consumed a (HAND) of matchbook-size chips at a	handful
time, as a trio of workers in overalls and safety glasses monitored its vitals. I	
followed Grace Connors, an M.I.Ttrained engineer with red hair and bright-	
green eyes, to a large plastic tank. "Do you smell it?" she asked.	
I did. The tank was two-thirds full of bio-oil, and it smelled faintly sweet, even	
appetizing. The most (FAME) product of bio-oil is "liquid	famous
smoke," the flavoring despised by barbecue purists. Some Charm employees	
grow so tired of the smell that they (ULTIMATE) lose their taste	ultimately
for grilling.	
Charm was drawn to its northeastern Colorado location because the area is	
rich with not only corn but also energy infrastructure, which attracts a skilled	

workforce. A straight gravel road leading to the miniforge is lined with	
(AGRICULTURE) fields and bobbing pumpjacks; current	agri-
miniforge employees have experience in solar and wind-turbine	cultural
(INSTALL), agricultural finance, and tractor design.	in-
Charm traces its origins to a customer-data company, Segment, which four	stallation
college students founded in 2011. In 2014, Segment tried to reduce its carbon	1
emissions by purchasing (RENEW)-energy credits, limiting the	re-
red meat in company lunches, and buying carbon offsets. Segment paid about	newable
twenty thousand dollars to protect rain forests in Indonesia and Brazil, Peter	1
Reinhardt, a co-founder who served as the company's C.E.O., said. But he	1
became skeptical about the (EFFECTIVE) of these efforts. "All	effective-
you got was a paper certificate that said, 'You did it!' " Reinhardt told me. "Was	ness
it successfully protected? Which forest was it? Can you show me on Google	1
Maps? It was (TOTAL) opaque." The next year, wildfires	totally
devastated Indonesia. Reinhardt remembers thinking, "Well, this seems pretty	1
fucked."	1
Reinhardt started spending Saturdays in startup mode, trying to vet	1
alternatives to offsets with several colleagues. They wanted to invest in	1
technologies that reduced the carbon in the atmosphere, but all of the	1
(OPT) were flawed. Nature-based solutions, such as forest	options
(RESTORE) and soil conservation, seemed to be the simplest	re-
and the cheapest. But Reinhardt worried that their benefits were difficult to	storation
measure and often overstated, and that they were vulnerable to climate-	1
fuelled disasters. Direct air capture, which (TYPICAL) uses	typically
fans to draw air through a carbon-trapping chemical filter, is more quantifiable	1
and durable, but it consumes fantastic amounts of energy. Other carbon-	1
removal methods—enhanced rock weathering, kelp farming—seemed	1
speculative, and came with their own (CERTAIN) and	uncer-
downsides.	tainties
At first, Reinhardt and his colleagues wanted to convert carbon into	1
(USE) products. They planned to use pyrolysis to produce	usable
biochar — a solid form of carbon that resembles charcoal and can enrich soil—	1
as well as syngas, which can fuel (INDUSTRY) processes such	industrial
as steelmaking. In February, 2018, Reinhardt created Charm Industrial along	İ

with three co-founders, including Shaun and Kelly Kinetic. They secured seed	
funding from private (INVEST), including Reinhardt himself.	investors
(The Kinetics helped lead the company for five years and left in 2023.)	
Charm's timing was both fortuitous and foreboding. In October of that year, the	
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change said that limiting global warming	
to 1.5 degrees Celsius would require the removal of up to a thousand gigatons	
of carbon by 2100. Charm had joined a nascent industry — but no company	
had the capacity to remove carbon at anything close to a	com-
(COMMERCE) scale.	mercial
In the U.S., the corn industry alone produces some four hundred million metric	
tons—two-fifths of a gigaton—of stalks and leaves each year, around fifty per	
cent of which is carbon. According to Charm's estimates, half of those stalks	
and leaves could be removed (SUSTAIN). American forests	sus-
also produce significant amounts of biomass; last year, the U.S. Forest Service	tainably
and its partners cut trees and brush on more than 2.3 million acres of land to	
reduce the risk of fires. When these materials burn or are left to decompose,	
much of their carbon is released into the atmosphere. The trouble is that	
they're also too light and bulky— (ESSENCE), too fluffy — to	essential-
transport cheaply. And, the more biomass you want, the more you wind up	ly
paying for every ton, because large suppliers are fewer and	
(FAR) between. "You might be able to get a ton of biomass delivered	farther/
somewhere for sixty dollars," Reinhardt told me. "But, if you want a million	further
tons, each one might cost one hundred and fifty dollars." In 2020, Reinhardt	
started to worry that these factors would drive up the price of syngas, making	
it too (EXPEND) for the steel industry.	expensive
Shaun Kinetic, Charm's chief scientist at the time, proposed an alternative:	
perhaps, instead of shipping biomass a long way to a centralized pyrolyzer,	
Charm could move smaller machines to farms and forests. If the company	
could adjust their pyrolyzers to make primarily bio-oil, instead of biochar and	
syngas, the company would have a high-density, easily	trans-
(TRANSPORT) form of carbon (which would later be convertible into syngas).	portable
As soon as Charm engineers had produced a few vials, however, Kinetic grew	
(ANXIETY) about how they would get rid of excess bio-oil. He	anxious
had worked in laboratories in Colorado and Antarctica, and had learned that	

abandoned chemicals can become hazards. That was when he started to call	
companies that specialize in the (DISPOSE) of liquid waste,	disposal
like the one that employs Monte Markley. He was astonished to learn that the	
U.S. is home to hundreds of thousands of disposal wells, which are	
(ROUTINE) injected with waste — cheese whey, brine from	routinely
meatpacking facilities, and, more commonly, byproducts of oil-and-gas	
drilling — that can't feasibly be burned, recycled, or dumped in a landfill. (The	
oil-and-gas industry has (SUCCESS) lobbied for exemptions	success-
from environmental regulations for its disposal wells, and, in recent years, its	fully
high-pressure injection of polluted water has led to groundwater	
contamination and earthquakes.)	
Kinetic initially thought of disposal wells as little more than a place to store	
(MESS) materials. But in the aftermath of his conversations	messy
with Markley, while under a COVID-19 lockdown in San Francisco, he realized	
that the wells might themselves serve as a carbon sink. Before oil, gas, and	
coal were extracted and burned, producing vast quantities of greenhouse	
gases, their gigatons of carbon had been trapped underground; by burying bio-	
oil in a disposal well, Charm might start to undo some of the harms of fossil	
fuels.	
Charm applied for a patent for bio-oil (INJECT) as a means of	injection
carbon removal. A few weeks later, the company found its first customer.	
Stripe, the payment-processing company, wanted to spur	inno-
(INNOVATE) in carbon storage by spending at least a million dollars a year on	vation
"negative emissions technology." The company promised to pay six hundred	
dollars a ton for hundreds of tons of carbon storage — a	com-
(COMMIT) worth about a quarter-million dollars. Soon, Microsoft, Square,	mitment
Shopify, and other tech companies made similar promises to Charm and its	
industry peers. "Shit," Reinhardt remembers thinking. "Now we have to go do	
this work." In January, 2022, fourteen months after Segment was purchased	
for \$3.2 billion, Reinhardt left the company and became Charm Industrial's	
full-time C.E.O.	
Although bio-oil looks similar to crude oil, it's (CHEMICAL)	chemical-
much messier, composed of a hodgepodge of molecules rather than uniform	ly

aluminium, and has a tendency to solidify. In part for these reasons, it's not a	
very (FUNCTION) fuel. Charm hired contractors, including	functional
Markley's company, to evaluate the impact of injecting bio-oil into different	
geological layers, under various temperatures and (PRESS).	pressures
(Charm said that because bio-oil hardens, and because of its	density
(DENSE) and the (DEEP) at which it is buried, it is unlikely to	depth
leak into water sources.)	
The results were mixed. During one test, in early 2023, Charm engineers	
pumped a truckload of bio-oil into a holding tank. Then they discovered that	
the holding tank contained some residual water, which caused the bio-oil to	
separate into phases, like salad (DRESS). Cold outdoor	dressing
temperatures congealed the (OIL) bottom layer; when	oily
workers released the tank's contents into a quarter-mile pipeline that led to a	
deep injection well, it quickly filled with gunk. "It was an 'Oh, shit' moment,"	
Reinhardt told me. Charm's equipment (OPERATE) learned to	operators
insulate their holding tanks and check for moisture. Only after several	
successful tests were the co-founders (CONFIDE) that they	confident
could permanently store bio-oil underground. Now they had to produce	
enough to help the climate.	
In the startup world, co-founders often reframe (FAIL) as	failures
"learnings," and Charm's first field test, on Markley's farm in Kansas, yielded	
plenty. For Reinhardt, the big one was that "the machine	
(PROBABILITY) never should have been in Kansas." If Charm wanted to sell	probably
gigatons of carbon removal, it would have to increase its pyrolysis capacity by	
orders of magnitude. To do that, it would have to build, test, and improve its	
machines much more quickly than far-flung field trials would permit.	
Carbon removal has another, more (FUNDAMENT) problem.	funda-
Carbon dioxide can still be dumped into the atmosphere more or less for free;	mental
from a financial perspective, why would anyone pay to take it out? Unless more	
companies make climate (RESPONSE) part of their brands, or	respon-
governments force them to comply with environmental regulations, carbon	sibility
removal will be a bad deal.	
There are very few historical precedents for the (GROW) that	growth
the climate crisis demands of the carbon-removal industry. In the mid-	

twentieth century, the synthetic-fertilizer industry grew at a blistering pace,	
Gregory Nemet, a professor at the University of Wisconsin-Madison who	
studies energy policy, told me. More recently, the solar-energy	
(BUSY) has grown faster than almost any other, thanks in part to the rapidly	business
falling costs of making solar panels. But both industries were able to offer their	
customers a tangible product with immediate benefits. Carbon removal	
(SIMPLE) offers us a better collective chance at survival. It's	simply
arguably more valuable, but harder to value.	
The best analogue for the carbon-removal industry may be waste	
(MANAGE), according to Noah Deich, who serves in the Biden	manage-
Administration as a senior adviser for the Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon	ment
Management, in the Department of Energy. As with garbage	collection
(COLLECT), Deich told me, "carbon removal delivers a service that I think we	
want as a society." And, although trash has certainly made some	
entrepreneurs rich, its safe and permanent disposal ultimately depends on	
public (FUND). As part of the Infrastructure Investment and	funding
Jobs Act, the Biden Administration recently announced a \$1.2-billion	
investment in carbon removal, which funds two large direct-air-capture	
facilities. In late May, the Department of Energy announced credit-purchase	
agreements with Charm and twenty-three other carbon-removal companies.	
"We now have a business model emerging for carbon removal that could be	
scaled," Deich told me.	
Polluters are already touting carbon removal as a (JUSTIFY) to	justifi-
keep polluting, however. Occidental Petroleum, one of the largest oil-and-gas	cation
producers in the United States, is a partner in the federally funded carbon-	
removal facility in Texas. Its C.E.O., Vicki Hollub, has predicted that carbon	
removal "is going to be the technology that helps to preserve our industry over	
time."	
By August, 2023, Charm engineers felt that they had made enough	improve-
(IMPROVE) to the pyrolyzer to justify another field test. ♦	ments

- 1. What kind of professional background does Monte Markley have, and what does his work mainly involve?
- 2. How does Charm Industrial's approach to carbon waste differ from traditional carbon capture methods?
- 3. What transformation does the pyrolyzer perform on plant materials, and what is the resulting substance compared to in appearance?
- 4. Why did Markley and his wife find the idea of hosting the pyrolyzer on their farm appealing?
- 5. What challenges did Charm Industrial face during the first winter of operating the pyrolyzer on Markley's property?
- 6. Why is reducing the use of fossil fuels alone considered insufficient to prevent severe climate change?
- 7. How much carbon removal is estimated to be necessary annually to keep global warming below 1.5 degrees Celsius?
- 8. What are some of the concerns critics have about carbon removal technologies?
- 9. Describe the work environment and setting at Charm Industrial's "miniforge" facility in northeastern Colorado.
- 10. What is the significance of the bio-oil's smell and what impact does it have on some Charm employees?
- 11. Why was the location in northeastern Colorado advantageous for Charm Industrial's operations?
- 12. How did the founders of Charm Industrial initially address their skepticism about carbon offsets?
- 13. What were the limitations of nature-based solutions and direct air capture that Charm's founders encountered?
- 14. What products did Charm originally intend to create from carbon, and how do they benefit industry and agriculture?
- 15. How did the timing of Charm Industrial's founding relate to global climate goals outlined by the IPCC?
- 16. What logistical problems arise from the nature of biomass as a raw material for carbon removal?
- 17. What innovation did Shaun Kinetic propose to improve the efficiency of bio-oil production and transportation?

- 18. What environmental and regulatory issues did Kinetic discover about underground waste disposal?
- 19. How did Charm's early bio-oil storage tests highlight practical difficulties in handling the material?
- 20. What economic and regulatory challenges must the carbon removal industry overcome to become viable on a large scale?

8.

prirejeno po:

https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2025/01/13/why-is-the-american-diet-so-deadly

a) Insert the correct forms of the missing words.

Why Is the American Diet So Deadly? A scientist tried to discredit the theory that ultraprocessed foods are killing us. Instead, he overturned his own understanding of obesity.

By Dhruv Khullar January 6, 2025 Food scientists are investigating a possible cause of the ___ (OBESE) epidemic which wasn't named until the obesity twenty-first century: ultra-processed foods. Until recently, Guillaume Raineri, a forty-two-year-old man with a bald head and a bushy goatee, worked as an HVAC technician in Gonesse, a small town about ten miles north of Paris. The area lends its name to pain de Gonesse, a bread _____ (HISTORY) made from historically wheat that was grown locally, milled with a special process, and fermented slowly to develop flavor. The French élite once savored its crisp yet _____ (CHEW) crust and its tender, subtly sweet chewy crumb. In November, for four weeks, Raineri moved into a room that featured a narrow hospital bed, an austere blue recliner, and an exercise bike, which he was supposed to use for an hour a day. "It's not as bad as it looks," he said. His wife took to visiting him at the end of her shifts. Once a week, he spent a full twenty-four hours inside a metabolic (METABOLISM) chamber, a small room that measured how his body used food, air, and water. He was not allowed

to go outside (SUPERVISE), owing to the risk that he	unsupervised
might sneak a few morsels of unsanctioned food.	
Each day at 9 A.M., 1 P.M., and 6 P.M., Raineri was given an enormous	
meal—about two thousand calories—and instructed to eat as much	
as he liked. During the first week, he was offered	
(MINIMUM) processed foods such as salad, vegetables, and grilled	minimally
chicken, and he felt great. But, every Friday, researchers changed his	
diet. He was soon eating calorie-dense, processed foods that, in his	
words, "just sat in my stomach": chicken nuggets, fries, peanut-	
butter-and-jelly sandwiches. He developed heartburn and began to	
feel bloated, sluggish, and (IRRITATE).	irritable
A few days before Thanksgiving, I entered the imposing brick building $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$	
knownastheN.I.H.ClinicalCenter.Raineriwassittinginbed, scrolling	
through his phone in pale-blue pajamas; biometric activity bands were	
wrapped around his waist, wrist, and ankle. It was almost time for his	
(DAY) "resting-energy-expenditure test," to gauge	daily
how his metabolism was changing from one diet to the next. Raineri	
lay down; Grindstaff dimmed the lights and fitted what looked like an	
astronaut's helmet around his head. A monitor estimated that he'd	
burn around seventeen hundred calories if he lay in bed for the rest of	
the day.	
In the past half century, nutrition scientists have blamed health	
conditions such as obesity, diabetes, and heart disease on many	
features of the American diet, including (SUGAR)	sugary
beverages and saturated fat. These factors surely contribute to	
Americans' uniquely poor health. But Kevin Hall, the N.I.H. study's	
principal investigator, was researching a possible culprit that wasn't	
named until the twenty-first century: ultra-processed food. The	
problem, Hall believed, might have less to do with high levels of	
sodium or cholesterol than with industrial techniques and chemical	
(MODIFY). From this perspective, homemade jam	modifications
on pain de Gonesse would be fine; Smucker's on Wonder Bread would	
not, even if it contained less sugar and fat. "The thesis is that we've	
been focusing too strongly on the individual	

(NUTRIENT) components of food," Hall told me. "We're starting to	nutritional
learn that processing really matters."	
In recent years, dozens of studies have linked ultra-processed fare to	
health problems such as high blood pressure and heart attacks, and	
also to some problems that one might not expect: cancer,	
(ANXIOUS), dementia, early death. One	anxiety
(ANALYSE) found that women who ate the most ultra-	analysis
processed food were fifty per cent more likely to become depressed	
than those who ate the least; another found that men who consumed	
more had (SUBSTANTIATE) higher rates of colon	substantially
cancer.	
On the other hand, processing also has some benefits. It prevents food	
from going bad or being contaminated during (STORE)	storage
and transport; it allows more people to eat convenient and varied	
meals, even when particular foods are not in season; and it helps the	
world feed a growing population. Walter Willett, a Harvard professor	
who may be the most cited nutrition researcher in the world, argues	
•	
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) —	worthless
	worthless misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) —	
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)."	
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare.	
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an	misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as	misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a	misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the	misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my	misleading
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question."	misleading forcefully central
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question." A (CENTRE) question of the study is whether,	misleading forcefully central
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question." A (CENTRE) question of the study is whether, consciously or unconsciously, (PARTICIPATE) eat	misleading forcefully central
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question." A (CENTRE) question of the study is whether, consciously or unconsciously, (PARTICIPATE) eat more when they're given ultra-processed foods—and, if so, why. This	misleading forcefully central
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question." A (CENTRE) question of the study is whether, consciously or unconsciously, (PARTICIPATE) eat more when they're given ultra-processed foods—and, if so, why. This is why participants are offered such immense portions and can stop	misleading forcefully central
that studies like Hall's are "worse than (WORTH) — they're (LEAD)." I asked a tall, brown-haired cook which diet he most likes to prepare. "Preparing a day's worth of ultra-processed meals might take an hour," he said. "Unprocessed meals could take three or four times as long." He brought his knife down (FORCE), cleaving a carrot in two, and continued: "If I'm swamped, I'd rather make the ultra-processed menu. But if I had to pick one to eat for the rest of my life? Unprocessed, no question." A (CENTRE) question of the study is whether, consciously or unconsciously, (PARTICIPATE) eat more when they're given ultra-processed foods—and, if so, why. This is why participants are offered such immense portions and can stop whenever they want.	misleading forcefully central

(POVERTY) part of rural Brazil, and he treated many	impoverished
plantation workers with swollen bellies, stunted growth, and	
(EXHAUST). He started to think that they needed	exhaustion
better food, in larger quantities, more than they needed medicine. He	
relocated to São Paulo, hoping to study (NUTRITION).	malnutrition
Then he learned that around a million Brazilians were growing obese	
each year. Strangely, a shrinking number of people were buying	
ingredients that doctors blamed for the obesity epidemic, such as salt,	
sugar, and oil. The paradox troubled him.	
In the nineties, many nutrition researchers began to turn their focus	
away from individual nutrients (antioxidants are good, saturated fat is	
bad) and toward broader (DIET) patterns. Monteiro	dietary
developed a theory. Households that bought less salt weren't eating	
less salt. They were no longer cooking. A growing share of their meals	
arrived in a package. "The issue is not food, nor nutrients, so much as	
processing," he wrote in a landmark 2009 paper. Novel	
(BEHAVIOUR) and brain-imaging experiments were	behavioural
showing that eating wasn't always under our conscious control.	
Monteiro reasoned that something very bad had happened when	
industrial food systems started churning out cheap, convenient, and	
tempting foods. He argued that scientists should	classify
(CLASS) foods by their most (NATURE) ingredients	unnatural
and by their means of production.	
Almost all our food is processed in some way, but it matters how and	
how much. According to Monteiro's NOVA Food	
(CLASSIFY) System, Group 1 foods are unprocessed or minimally	classification
processed: nuts, eggs, vegetables, pasta. Group 2 includes everyday	
culinary ingredients: sugars, oils, butter, salt. Butter and salt your	
pasta, and you have a Group 3 food: processed, but not automatically	
unhealthy. But add a jar of RAGÚ Alfredo sauce—with its modified	
cornstarch, whey-protein concentrate, xanthan gum, and disodium	
phosphate—and you're biting into Group 4 ultra-processed fare. The	
ingredients of a Group 4 meal tend to be created when foods are	
refined, bleached, hydrogenated, fractionated, or extruded—in other	

words, when whole foods are broken into (COMPOSE)	components
or otherwise chemically modified. If you can't make it with equipment	
and ingredients in your home kitchen, it's probably ultra-processed.	
(Monteiro's rubric did not account for industrially farmed crops and	
livestock, whose use food companies do not necessarily	
(CLOSE).)	disclose
Monteiro's peers were not immediately convinced. In the five years	
after his 2009 paper, there were essentially no scientific studies linking	
food processing to ill health. It wasn't clear that his rubric had any	
more (VALID) than the food pyramid, recommended	validity
dietary plates, or the nutrition traffic lights that are used in the U.K. But,	
(GRADE), scientists started to test his theory. In 2015,	gradually
Hall, the N.I.H. researcher, attended a conference on obesity and	
presented research into low-fat and low-carbohydrate diets. After he	
left the podium, some Brazilian nutritionists approached him. "'That's	
a very twentieth-century way of thinking,'" he remembers them telling	
him. "'The problem is ultra-processed food.'" The term sounded	
(SENSE). Nutrition is about nutrients, he thought.	nonsensical
What does processing have to do with it?	
Hall, who has short salt-and-pepper hair and often wears a lab coat,	
originally trained as a (PHYSICS). He became	physicist
fascinated with nutrition after learning to model diseases at a Silicon	
Valley startup; while in a similar role at the N.I.H., he started working	
in a "metabolic ward" that was being built to study diet and exercise.	
Some of his early research examined metabolic changes in	
contestants on NBC's "The Biggest Loser," who'd lost drastic amounts	
36.	
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory,	
	discredit
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory,	discredit
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory, he designed a trial that he thought would (CREDIT) it.	discredit
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory, he designed a trial that he thought would (CREDIT) it. In a study published in 2019, Hall invited twenty people to spend a	discredit
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory, he designed a trial that he thought would (CREDIT) it. In a study published in 2019, Hall invited twenty people to spend a month at the N.I.H. Clinical Center, where his team measured how	discredit
of weight. After the Brazilian nutritionists told him about their theory, he designed a trial that he thought would (CREDIT) it. In a study published in 2019, Hall invited twenty people to spend a month at the N.I.H. Clinical Center, where his team measured how their bodies responded to different types of food. (Many researchers	discredit mostly

other two weeks, they ate an ultra-processed diet. At least eighty per cent of the calories came from Group 4 foods. Hall ended up refuting his own hypothesis. When participants were on the ultra-processed diet, they ate five hundred calories more per day and put on an average of two pounds. They ate meals faster; their bodies secreted more insulin; their blood contained more glucose. When participants were on the minimally processed diet, they lost about two pounds. Researchers observed a rise in levels of an appetite-suppressing hormone and a decline in one that makes us feel hungry. It wasn't clear why ultra-processed diets led people to eat more or what exactly these foods did to their bodies. Still, a few factors stood out. The first was energy _____ (DENSE)—calories per gram density of food. _____ (HYDRATE), which increases shelf life and Dehydration lowers transport costs, makes many ultra-processed foods (chips, jerky, pork rinds) energy-dense. The second, hyper-palatability, was a focus of one of Hall's collaborators, Tera Fazzino. (EVOLVE) trained us to like sweet, salty, and rich foods because, on Evolution the most basic level, they help us survive. Hyper-palatable foods combinations of fat and sugar, or fat and salt, or salt and carbs—cater to these tastes but are rare in nature. A grape is high in sugar but low in fat, and I can stop eating after one. A slice of cheesecake is high in sugar and fat. I must eat it all. In certain areas, these findings defied the logic of earlier theories of nutrition. If the goal was to minimize processing, then a diet that includes butter might be healthier than one that includes margarine, and one that includes cane sugar might be healthier than one that includes zero-calorie sweeteners. The occasional whole egg, which contains more than half the daily recommended dose of cholesterol, might be _____ (PREFER) to packaged liquid eggs, which are preferable protein-rich and sometimes cholesterol- and fat-free, but often _____ (PRESERVE) and emulsifiers. preservatives It's common to think about the obesity epidemic, which contributes to nearly three million deaths around the world every year, in terms of

___ (BALANCE). Sometime in the middle of the imbalance energy ____ twentieth century, the story goes, we started to consume more calories than we burned, and thus we gained weight. There are good reasons to subscribe to this view; feed virtually any animal extra food and it will gain weight. But research has increasingly complicated the "It's the calories, stupid" model of obesity. Our bodies process carbs differently from fats, for instance; a calorie from corn leads your body to secrete more insulin than a calorie from cheese. Certain food additives seem to activate genes associated with _ (WEIGH) gain, and things like weight loss and exercise can reset the weight body's metabolic rate. "The dirty little secret is that no one really knows what caused the obesity epidemic," Dariush Mozaffarian, a dean at the Tufts School of Nutrition Science and Policy, told me. "It's the biggest change to human biology in modern history. But we still don't have a good handle on why." If anything, Americans began consuming slightly fewer calories after the turn of the twenty-first century, according to national survey data, yet rates of obesity continued to climb. (Obesity rates in the U.S. may now be falling, possibly owing to the introduction of GLP-1 drugs such as Ozempic, but they remain the highest in the _____ (INDUSTRY) world.) industrialized Before reuniting with Raineri, I sat down with Katherine Maki, a clinician and microbiome researcher who is working with Hall, in the atrium. Maki leads what she calls the "poop squad," which analyzes stool samples to understand how various diets influence the bacteria in our gut. "The foods we eat leave a bacterial signature inside our bodies," Maki said. "We're getting better at decoding that signature." I bit into the remains of my granola bar. One bacterium, B. theta, ordinarily helps us digest fibre. But if we don't get enough fibre—and ninety-five per cent of Americans don't—it starts to feed on mucus instead. "Think of it as eating the lining of your gut," Maki said. "Not good from an inflammation standpoint." Some of the artificial sweeteners in zero-calorie sodas and "no-sugar-added" desserts, such as saccharin and sucralose, appear to shift the microbiome in ways that impair the body's handling of sugar. The

spread of the Western diet h	as coincided with striking declines in	
microbial (DI	VERSE). Some of our gut bacteria have	diversity
disappeared altogether.		
After breakfast, Raineri donne	d a hospital gown in the Clinical Center's	
dermatology wing.		
"When was the last time you s	howered?" a dermatologist asked him.	
"Yesterday at eleven," Raineri	said.	
"11 A.M. or 11 P.M.?"		
"Ah, A.M.," he said.		
The dermatologist seemed s	eatisfied that he was	
(SUFFICE) dirty. She taped sev	veral strips to his forehead and under a	sufficiently
tattoo on his back. These wo	uld measure the amount of fat that his	
glands secreted on that week'	s diet. Then she swabbed several body	
parts.		
(SCHOOL) of	obesity sometimes point out that since	scholars
the epidemic began humans h	aven't had time to evolve as a species—	
our food must be to blam	e. This is true, but	
(COMPLETE), because the fo	ods we consume change our biology.	incomplete
Highly processed diets might	reduce the (SENSE) of	sensitivity
taste receptors, for example,	which could mean that we eat more to	
get the same hit. Taste	(PRESUME) evolved to gauge	presumably
the nutritional content of foo	d, but ultra-processed products don't	
need to be	(NUTRIENT) to taste good. "With a	nutritious
(PHYSIOLOGY	f) confusion that barely makes it to the	physiological
surface of our conscious expe	erience, we find ourselves reaching for	
another—searching for that nu	trition that never arrived," the physician	
Chris van Tulleken writes i	n his recent book, "Ultra-Processed	
People." Some scientists h	ave proposed "taste-bud rehab" to	
(DIRECT) our	cravings toward healthy options.	redirect
In the afternoon, I joined Ra	ineri for a taste test. The aim was to	
understand how quickly his _	(PREFER) shifted when	preferences
his diet changed—whether frie	es and chicken tenders made his taste	
	ance. Raineri sat down at a large table;	
an opaque shield blocked his	view of medicine bottles that contained	

various (SOLVE) of salt and sugar. A nurse poured two	solutions
solutions into paper cups. Raineri swished the first in his mouth,	
(APPEAR) unperturbed, and spit it into a bright-blue	apparently
bag. But the second made him grimace and stick his tongue out, as	
though he were sitting through the worst wine tasting ever.	
Hall's original study, which has been cited nearly two thousand times,	
was the first (RANDOM) trial demonstrating that ultra-	randomized
processed foods disrupt our metabolic health and lead people to	
(EAT). Since it was hugely	overeat
(INFLUENCE), it is widely recognized as the most rigorous examination	influential
of the subject so far. "It got the most attention of any study I'll probably	
ever do," Hall said. It also sparked(CONTROVERSIAL)	controversy
and (OPPOSE). The study was, by	opposition
(NECESSARY), conducted in a highly artificial environment. Some of its	necessity
(FIND) might not have persisted; in the second week	findings
that participants ate an ultra-processed diet, for example, their excess	
calorie (CONSUME) started to fall.	consumption
One of the largest studies of ultra-processed foods, led by researchers	
at Harvard—including Willett, the critic of Hall's study—divided ultra-	
processed foods into ten subgroups. Its(CONCLUDE)	conclusions
were more complicated than Hall's. Two types of ultra-processed	
foods (sugary sodas and processed meats) increased people's risk of	
cardiovascular disease, but three types (breads and cold cereals,	
certain dairy products such as flavored yogurts, and savory snacks)	
seemed to decrease their risk. Another five didn't appear to affect it at	
all. "Some food additives are good, some are bad, most are probably	
neutral," Willett told me. Last month, a committee of twenty nutrition	
experts released its recommendations for updating the U.S. dietary	
guidelines; it declined to endorse broad limits on ultra-processed	
foods, calling the currently available evidence "limited," but	
suggested that people avoid processed meats.	
Talking to skeptics of Monteiro and Hall, I found myself vacillating	
between excitement about the utility of a burgeoning theory and	
pessimism about its seeming (FUTILE). "All of this	futility

research is a colossal waste of money," Alan Levinovitz, a professor at	
James Madison University and the author of "Natural: How Faith in	
Nature's (GOOD) Leads to Harmful Fads,	goodness
(JUST) Laws, and Flawed Science," told me. "We	unjust
already know why populations are gaining weight: ubiquitous, cheap,	
delicious, calorie-dense foods." He called it "appalling that we've	
turned this into some kind of research question when the answer is	
staring us right in the face." He had a point; many of Monteiro's	
recommendations can (ARGUE) be summed up with	arguably
seven words from "In Defense of Food," the 2008 book by Michael	
Pollan: "Eat food. Not too much. Mostly plants."	
Hall argues that research into ultra-processed foods, which make up	
an estimated two-thirds of the American diet, could prove	
(USE) to the very companies that manufacture them.	useful
"Industry is just as happy to sell you a healthy version as an unhealthy	
one," he told me. But Big Food is adept at contorting nutrition science	
to promote its products.	
Of course, since no previous theory has succeeded in halting or even	
fully explaining the obesity epidemic, we need new ideas. "It's long	
past time that the scientific community seriously considered alternate	
hypotheses," Mozaffarian, the Tufts dean, told me. (He thinks that	
ultra-processed foods have probably contributed to rising obesity	
rates and suspects that biological changes—such as	
(ALTER) in our microbiomes, metabolisms, and epigenetics—have	alterations
played a role, too.) Historically, there have been separate movements	
against sugary sodas, fast food, and (HARM)	harmful
additives, but a concept like ultra-processed foods could unify	
politicians, parents, and public-health professionals around a single	
health campaign. Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., who may soon lead the U.S.	
Department of Health and Human Services, has made common cause	
with some lawmakers by railing against ultra-processed food, pledging	
to remove it from public schools and limit the use of pesticides,	
artificial dyes, and, perhaps more (DOUBT), seed oils.	dubiously/
"We need to stop feeding our children poison and start feeding them	doubtfully

real, wholesome food again," he posted on X in November. (Kennedy's collaborators will need to navigate his thicket of _ (FOUND) claims about viruses, vaccines, and wellness fads.) Some unfounded experts want to eliminate agricultural subsidies for corn and soy; others have advocated for a tax targeting ultra-processed products, which is being tried in Colombia, or marketing restrictions, which have been introduced in Chile. While reporting this story, I became obsessed with checking nutrition labels, but I don't think that I managed a single day without eating an ultra-processed food. I'd order a salad and the dressing would contain preservatives; I'd pick up a parfait and would be felled by a sweetener in the granola. My own medical tests border on prediabetes, and I try to cook healthy dinners for my three kids. But I often acquiesce to their demands for pizza, saving myself not only time but negotiations over every broccoli floret (eat four if you're four, two if you're two, and so on). With fries, I have to negotiate with them to stop. In the moment, these concessions feel (ESCAPE) inescapable and inconsequential. Afterward, while sitting up in bed with reflux, I worry about the example I'm setting and resolve, again, to do better. On a warm November afternoon, at a cozy French café in lower Manhattan, I met up with a person who, I hoped, might restore a sense of perspective. Marion Nestle, a towering figure in American nutrition, is a molecular biologist and nutritionist who started the country's first academic food-studies program, at N.Y.U., helping to bring attention to the roles that culture, _____ (CAPITAL), and politics play capitalism in what and how much we eat. We sat down at a table, and I placed the cookie on a napkin. "Pretty ultra-processed, right?" I said. "Butter, sugar, flour, eggs," she said. "Actually, I think it's probably O.K." She broke off a piece and popped it into her mouth. (In other ways, she noted, cookies are not exactly healthy.) "You've got to understand how we got here," Nestle said, launching into a monologue about the evolution of nutrition science. In her telling, the first era began in the early twentieth century, after the

discovery of vitamins. During the Second World War, U.S. military	
leaders were alarmed that many recruits, having grown up during the	
Great Depression, couldn't join the war effort because of conditions	
caused by a lack of nutrients, such as rickets, scurvy, anaemia, and	
tooth decay. "That came as a shock, and the military became	
(HEAVY) concerned with nutrition," she said. It	heavily
partnered with the National Academy of Sciences and the National	
Research Council, which together published the first recommended	
dietary (ALLOW) for various nutrients.	allowances
Nestle sipped her tea. The second era began in the years after the war,	
she said, when heart disease was emerging as a leading killer. In the	
mid-twentieth century, around the time that scientists were identifying	
plausible dietary culprits—salt, fat, cholesterol—Nestle's father died	
of a heart attack. In the late seventies, a Senate committee led by	
George McGovern issued a report calling on people to consume less	
dairy and red meat. But, after blowback from industry, the	
(GUIDE) was reworked to	guidance
(EMPHASIS) nutrients (in this case, saturated fats) instead of foods.	emphasize
"Eating less is very bad for business," Nestle said. She argues that this	
act of (APPEASE) cast a long shadow. "Even today,	appeasement
when people talk about what we need to eat more of, they talk about	
food," she said, her voice rising. "But when they talk about what we	
need to eat less of, they switch to nutrients!" She pounded the table; a	
couple seated next to us glanced over.	
Nestle and I took a sunset stroll, past a street vender selling hot dogs	
(beef, salt, sorbitol, potassium lactate), to a nearby grocery store. In	
the dairy section, Nestle compared a whole-fat yogurt (milk, bacterial	
cultures) with a low-fat version (milk, bacterial cultures, cornstarch,	
and pectin, among other things), whose emulsifiers and	
(THICK) improved (CREAM) and	thickeners
mouthfeel. "See, it can be tricky," she said. It hadn't occurred to me	creaminess
that yogurt with more fat could be healthier than yogurt with less. Still,	
Nestle told me, "it matters how 'ultra' the ultra-processing is. This	
yogurt will never be a bag of Doritos."	

On our way out, we stopped by the bread aisle, and Nestle noted that	
many whole-wheat breads, including a brand that I'd recently started	
buying, were ultra-processed. Some used highly processed flours that	
are cheaper and easier to work with, but are stripped of nutrients such	
as fibre and minerals. I thought about something that Willett, the	
Harvard professor, had told me. He and several of his colleagues enjoy	
the same kind of whole-grain bread from Trader Joe's. "It's made in a	
factory," he'd said. "It's ultra-processed. But to say it's unhealthy just	
because of that is frankly (RIDICULE)."	ridiculous
Afew weeks later, I drove an hour and a half east from Manhattan to	
the headquarters of Seviroli Foods, one of the largest pasta	
manufacturers in the world. When I arrived, I met Franco LaRocca, a	
gregarious man who works as the company's corporate chef and vice-	
president of research and development. I followed him to a part of the $$	
factory that was producing beef ravioli for the day. Before entering, we	
donned hairnets, (SAFE) glasses, and	safety
(DISPOSE) gowns that reminded me of the early days	disposable
of the COVID pandemic. I washed my hands, stomped my feet in white $$	
(INFECT) powder, and entered a room that roared like	disinfectant
a tarmac.	
The cone was dumping enriched semolina flour into a gigantic tank.	
Thick hoses piped in water and eggs. Dough exited onto a blue	
(CONVEY) belt; a sheeter pressed it into a three-foot-	conveyor
wide carpet. Then a metal mold called a pasta die determined the	
shape of the ravioli: square, circle, half-moon. Finally, a piston	
pumped (RHYTHM) up and down, topping the carpet	rhythmically
with dollops of ground beef. Seviroli's pasta was processed—it	
probably had to be, to meet the punishing scale and cost demands of	
a competitive market. I was trying to decide whether it also earned an	
"ultra."	
You could find features of ultra-processing if you looked: Seviroli's	
cheese ravioli, for example, is mostly ricotta and	
(RICH) semolina flour, but it also contains guar gum, a stabilizer made	enriched
from heavily processed beans, and cornstarch. Still, the company	

limits processing by cooking and immediately freezing pastas,	
minimizing the use of additives, and avoiding hydrogenated oils.	
In another room, LaRocca used both hands to lift the lid from a	
cauldron that stretched ten feet into the air. Steam misted off a	
bubbling yellow lava; a (BUTTER) aroma filled my	buttery
nostrils. "We add Asiago," LaRocca said. "Gives it a nice aged note."	
The vat piped its contents into a sort of vending machine for bags of	
sizzling cheese sauce, which passed through chilled water and into	
containers the size of dining tables. A forklift ferried some away. I was	
a little unsettled, but also astonished. Seviroli produced a nearly	
(FATHOM) amount of food at modest prices—a pound	unfathomable
of spinach ravioli goes for six bucks—with (REASON)	reasonably
high-quality ingredients. It seemed to exist on the boundary between	
(ORDINARY) processed and ultra-processed, and it	ordinarily
made me think that there was a middle way—one that, within the	
practical and economic realities of modern society, could keep people	
fed without making them sick.	
Back in LaRocca's kitchen, he fixed me a plate. The macaroni was al	
dente; the creamy cheese melted in my mouth. I finished it quickly but	
refrained from asking for more.	
"It's good!" I told him.	
"Yeah," he said. "But my daughter prefers Kraft." ♦	

- 1. What emerging dietary factor are researchers currently exploring as a significant contributor to the modern surge in excessive body weight?
- 2. How did Guillaume Raineri's living and daily routine change during the four-week research period?
- 3. What were the main differences in Raineri's physical sensations and digestive experiences when switching from minimally processed to heavily processed meals?
- 4. Why is it important for participants to have the freedom to eat large amounts and stop whenever they wish during the study?

- 5. What is the significance of the term "ultra-processed foods," and who originally introduced this concept?
- 6. How did societal changes in Brazil influence Carlos Monteiro's hypothesis regarding the obesity epidemic?
- 7. According to Monteiro's classification system, what distinguishes ultraprocessed products from other types of foods?
- 8. What were some of the initial reactions of the scientific community to Monteiro's ideas, and how did attitudes begin to shift?
- 9. In what ways does ultra-processing affect food composition, and why might this be more relevant than the food's individual nutrient content?
- 10. How did Kevin Hall's background and previous research influence his approach to investigating the impact of ultra-processed foods?
- 11. According to Hall, how might investigations into heavily processed foods inadvertently benefit the producers of these products?
- 12. What new biological factors does Mozaffarian suggest could be influencing the increase in obesity rates alongside ultra-processed foods?
- 13. How could the concept of ultra-processed food serve to unite various groups such as policymakers and families in health-related efforts?
- 14. What stance has Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. taken regarding ultra-processed foods in public institutions, and what challenges might his supporters face?
- 15. Despite attempts to avoid them, why does the narrator find it difficult to completely eliminate ultra-processed items from their diet?
- 16. How does Marion Nestle explain the impact of historical events on the evolution of nutritional guidelines in the United States?
- 17. What criticism does Nestle make about the way dietary recommendations have shifted focus from foods to specific nutrients over time?
- 18. How do emulsifiers and thickeners in processed dairy products affect their texture and appeal, according to Nestle?
- 19. What complexities does the author discover about categorizing foods like wholewheat bread or factory-made products as unhealthy simply because they are processed?
- 20. In the pasta manufacturing process described, what measures does the company take to limit the extent of processing and use of additives?

VIRI

- 1. https://www.newyorker.com/culture/infinite-scroll/tiktok-and-the-retreat-from-technological-globalization (pridobljeno 6. 6. 2025)
- 2. https://www.newyorker.com/news/the-lede/donald-trump-bombs-iran-and-america-waits (pridobljeno 10. 6. 2025)
- 3. https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2023/07/31/ultra-processed-people-chris-van-tulleken-book-review (pridobljeno 11. 6. 2025)
- 4. https://www.newyorker.com/books/under-review/can-we-get-kids-off-smartphones (pridobljeno 15. 6. 2025)
- 5. https://www.newyorker.com/news/the-financial-page/why-harvard-can-afford-to-stand-up-to-donald-trump (pridobljeno 17. 6. 2025)
- 6. https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2025/06/30/do-we-need-another-green-revolution (pridobljeno 21. 6. 2025)
- 7. https://www.newyorker.com/news/annals-of-a-warming-planet/what-is-the-opposite-of-oil-drilling (pridobljeno 25. 6. 2025)
- 8. https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2025/01/13/why-is-the-american-diet-so-deadly (26. 6. 2025)

VAJE IZ BESEDIŠČA IN BESEDOTVORJA V ANGLEŠKEM JEZIKU

Strokovno gradivo za učitelje angleškega jezika v programu Splošna gimnazija in Umetniška gimnazija

Avtorica

Urška Petrič Les

Založnik

Gimnazija Celje – Center

Celje, 2025

URL: https://www.gcc.si/wp-content/uploads/Gradiva/Besedotvorje.pdf

Kataložni zapis o publikaciji (CIP) pripravili v Narodni in univerzitetni knjižnici v Ljubljani

COBISS.SI-ID 246646019

ISBN 978-961-96979-4-8 (PDF)